Honorable John Hall  
Chairman  
Texas Water Commission  
P. O. Box 13087, Capitol Station  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Dear Commissioner Hall:

You have requested our opinion regarding the continuing efficacy of Attorney General Opinion 0-3205-A (1941). On March 11, 1941, the attorney general issued Attorney General Opinion O-3205, which held that the predecessor statute to section 28.011 of the Texas Water Code, former Penal Code article 848a, authorized the Board of Water Engineers to regulate privately-owned wells. See Acts 1931, 42d Leg., ch. 261, §§ 1, 6, at 432-33 (enactment of predecessor statute). On April 18, 1941, the attorney general withdrew this answer and held, in Attorney General Opinion O-3205-A, that the provision failed to contain sufficient standards so as to be a constitutionally valid delegation of rule-making authority. You indicate that as a result of this decision, "the Board and its successor agencies have never adopted rules pursuant to this statute." Accordingly, you ask that we "re-examine [our] position in light of subsequent court decisions relating to the delegation doctrine." In this opinion, we consider only the continued validity of Attorney General Opinion O-3205-A. We do not address the scope of section 28.011 of the Texas Water Code or its predecessor.

Almost identical to its predecessor, section 28.011 of the Texas Water Code provides:

The [Texas Water Commission] shall make and enforce rules and regulations for conserving, protecting, preserving, and distributing underground, subterranean, and percolating water located in this state and shall do all other things necessary for these purposes.
It is well settled that a statute must be construed as constitutional, if at all possible. *Smith v. Decker*, 312 S.W.2d 632, 635 (Tex. 1958). The court will indulge every possible presumption in favor of constitutionality. *Med-Safe, Inc. v. State*, 752 S.W.2d 638, 640 (Tex. App.-Houston [1st Dist.] 1988, no writ); *see also Trapp v. Shell Oil Co.*, 198 S.W.2d 424 (Tex. 1946). Although a legislative delegation of rule-making authority to an administrative body must establish standards in order to be valid,

[t]he standards set up by the legislature may be broad where conditions that must be considered cannot conveniently be investigated by the legislative branch. The legislature may properly delegate to an administrative agency the authority to establish rules and regulations, or minimum standards, that reasonably carry out the expressed purpose of the statutory act in question.

*Med-Safe, supra*, at 640 (citations omitted).

In *Med-Safe*, for example, the appellant challenged a requirement that the Department of Health issue a permit for operation of a nonhazardous solid waste site. The court observed that

the "purpose of the Act" is to "safeguard the health, welfare, and physical property of the people" and to "protect the environment."

*Id.* In upholding the delegation, the court noted that under past cases,

similarly broad standards have been found to provide sufficient guidance for administrative agencies to properly exercise delegated functions.

*Id.* Likewise, in *Jordan v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 334 S.W.2d 278, 279 (Tex. 1960), the appellant complained of the lack of standards in a statute that permitted the State Board of Insurance to revoke an insurer's certificate if it determined that the officers or directors of the company were "not worthy of public confidence." The Texas Supreme Court upheld the "not worthy of public confidence" standard, stating that the term "is no more extensive than the public interest demands," and that "the
idea embodied within the phrase is reasonably clear and hence acceptable as a standard of measurement."

In *Clark v. Briscoe Irrigation Co.*, 200 S.W.2d 674 (Tex. Civ. App.-Austin 1947, no writ), the court upheld a challenge to a statute that allowed the Board of Water Engineers to grant a permit if it found that appropriation of water in a particular instance "would not be detrimental to the public welfare." The court noted that

[t]he criteria are as definite as the subject in its varied applications will reasonably admit.

*Id.* at 684.

In *Martinez v. Texas State Bd. of Medical Examiners*, 476 S.W.2d 400, 404 (Tex. Civ. App.-San Antonio 1972, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court upheld a challenge to a statute that authorized the Board of Medical Examiners to revoke a physician's license for "grossly unprofessional or dishonorable conduct, or a character which in the opinion of the Board is likely to deceive or defraud the public." The court held that requiring a definition of all the acts that would constitute a violation would be unduly burdensome, that the statutory language was "reasonably clear," and that the members of the board "best know the professional and moral standards required of practitioners." *Id.*

Finally, in *Lone Star Gas Co. v. Railroad Comm'n of Texas*, 798 S.W.2d 888 (Tex. App.-Austin 1990, writ granted),2 the Railroad Commission had promulgated rules for the regulation of the purchase of gas by special marketing programs. In rejecting the argument that the statute lacked appropriate standards, the court noted that

[t]he standards here are found in the statutes directing the Commission to prevent waste, promote conservation, and protect correlative rights.

*Id.* at 894.

---

In our opinion, these cases furnish ample authority to conclude that section 28.011 and its predecessor provide sufficient standards to constitute a proper delegation of legislative authority. A directive to "conserve, protect, preserve, and distribute" water is, in our view, as specific as most of the other statutes considered and upheld in the cases discussed above. We conclude that neither section 28.011 nor its predecessor offend the delegation doctrine. Accordingly, Attorney General Opinion O-3205-A (1941) is overruled to the extent it is inconsistent with this opinion.

**SUMMARY**

Section 28.011 of the Texas Water Code, which authorizes the Texas Water Commission to "make and enforce rules and regulations for conserving, protecting, preserving, and distributing underground, subterranean, and percolating water located in this state," is not an unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority. Attorney General Opinion O-3205-A (1941) is overruled to the extent it is inconsistent with this opinion.
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