



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 20, 2022

Ms. Shawnta A. Adams  
Assistant City Attorney  
Arlington Police Department  
Mail Stop 04-0200  
P.O. Box 1065  
Arlington, Texas 76004-1065

OR2022-39632

Dear Ms. Adams:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 991677 (ORR# R140924).

The Arlington Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to the work schedule of three named officers. The department claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.152 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution . . . if (1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). This section is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State." *City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). This office has concluded this provision protects certain kinds of information, the disclosure of which might compromise the security or operations of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 at 3-4 (1989) (detailed guidelines regarding police department's use of force policy), 508 at 3-4 (1988) (information relating to future transfers of prisoners), 413 (1984) (sketch showing security measures for forthcoming execution). However, to claim this aspect of section 552.108 protection a

governmental body must meet its burden of explaining how and why release of the information at issue would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990). Further, commonly known policies and techniques may not be withheld under section 552.108. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 at 2-3 (former section 552.108 does not protect Penal Code provisions, common-law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force), 252 at 3 (1980) (governmental body did not meet burden because it did not indicate why investigative procedures and techniques submitted were any different from those commonly known with law enforcement and crime prevention). To prevail on its claim that section 552.108(b)(1) excepts information from disclosure, a law-enforcement agency must do more than merely make a conclusory assertion that releasing the information would interfere with law enforcement. The determination of whether the release of particular records would interfere with law enforcement is made on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 409 at 2 (1984).

The department asserts release of the submitted information would interfere with law enforcement. Upon review, we conclude the department has not established section 552.108(b)(1) is applicable to the some of the submitted information, which we have marked for release. Therefore, the department may not withhold this information on that ground. Nevertheless, we agree the department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the common-law physical safety exception that the Texas Supreme Court recognized in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Cox Texas Newspapers, L.P. & Hearst Newspapers, L.L.C.*, 343 S.W.3d 112, 117 (Tex. 2011) (“freedom from physical harm is an independent interest protected under law, untethered to the right of privacy”). In the *Cox* decision, the Supreme Court recognized, for the first time, a common-law physical safety exception to required disclosure. *Cox*, 343 S.W.3d at 118. Pursuant to this common-law physical safety exception, the court determined “information may be withheld [from public release] if disclosure would create a substantial threat of physical harm.” *Id.* In applying this new standard, the court noted “deference must be afforded” law enforcement experts regarding the probability of harm, but further cautioned “vague assertions of risk will not carry the day.” *Id.* at 119. Upon review, we find the department has failed to establish release of any of the remaining information at issue would subject an individual to a substantial risk of physical harm. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the common-law physical safety exception.

Section 552.152 of the Government Code provides the following:

Information in the custody of a governmental body that relates to an employee or officer of the governmental body is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if, under the specific circumstances

---

<sup>1</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address the other arguments of the department to withhold this information.

pertaining to the employee or officer, disclosure of the information would subject the employee or officer to a substantial threat of physical harm.

Gov't Code § 552.152. Upon review, we find the department has failed to demonstrated release of any of the information at issue would subject an employee or officer of the department to a substantial threat of physical harm. Therefore, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.152 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must provide to the requestor the information that we have marked for release. The department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

James L. Coggeshall  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JLC/pt

Ref: ID# 991677

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)