



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 17, 2022

Mr. Trenton M. Dietz
Assistant City Attorney
City of Abilene
P.O. Box 60
Abilene, Texas 79604-0060

OR2022-35872

Dear Mr. Dietz:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 985670 (ORR# 22-1869).

The City of Abilene (the "city") received a request for all reports involving named individuals at a specified address. The city claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the city claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in part, as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). The city informs us the submitted information was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect conducted by the city's police department. *See id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining "child" for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining "abuse" and "neglect" for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). Based on the city's representation, we find this information is subject to chapter 261 of the Family Code.

In this instance, however, the requestor is a representative of the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services ("DFPS"). Section 261.105(a) provides "[a]ll reports received by a local or state law enforcement agency that allege abuse or neglect by a person responsible for a child's care, custody, or welfare shall be referred immediately to [DFPS]." *See id.* § 261.105(a). We note the person suspected of child abuse or neglect in the submitted information was responsible for the child's care, custody, or welfare. *See id.* § 261.001(5)(B) (person responsible for child's care, custody, or welfare includes a member of the child's family or household as defined by chapter 71 of the Family Code). Accordingly, section 261.105(a) is applicable, and the city may not withhold the submitted information from this requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of the confidentiality of section 261.201(a). *See Attorney General Opinion No. GA-0879 (2011)* (law enforcement agency is required to furnish information about alleged child abuse or neglect by person responsible for child's care, custody, or welfare to DFPS).

We note portion of the submitted information are subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.130 provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. *See Gov't Code § 552.130*. Accordingly, the city must generally withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

As previously noted, the requestor generally has a right of access to the submitted information under section 261.105(a) of the Family Code. We note a statutory right of access generally prevails over the Act's general exceptions to disclosure. *See Open Record Decision Nos. 613 at 4 (1993)* (exceptions in Act cannot impinge on statutory right of access to information), 451 at 4 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exception to disclosure under the Act). However, because section 552.130 of the Government Code has its own access provisions, we conclude section 552.130 is not a general exception under the Act. Thus, we must address the conflict between the access provided under section 261.105 of the Family Code and the confidentiality provided by section 552.130 of the Government Code. Where information falls within both a general and a specific provision of law, the specific provision prevails over the general. *See Horizon 34 S.W.3d at 901; Cuellar, 521 S.W.2d 277*. Although section 261.105(a) generally allows DFPS access to reports received by a local or state law enforcement agency

¹ The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987)*.

that allege abuse or neglect by a person responsible for a child's care, custody, or welfare, section 552.130 specifically protects motor vehicle record information. Thus, we conclude the confidentiality of section 552.130 prevails over the requestor's access under section 261.105. Accordingly, notwithstanding the provision of section 261.105(a) of the Family Code, the city must withhold motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information to this requestor pursuant to section 261.105(a) of the Family Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/jxd

Ref: ID# 985670

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

² The requestor has a special right of access to the information being released. Because such information is confidential with respect to the general public, if the department receives another request for this information from a different requestor, the department must again seek a ruling from this office.