



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 10, 2022

Ms. April Earley  
Senior Assistant City Attorney  
City of Tyler  
P.O. Box 2039  
Tyler, Texas 75710

OR2022-35149

Dear Ms. Earley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the “Act”), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 984239 (Ref. No. 22-009001).

The Tyler Police Department (the “department”) received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. The department states it has released some of the requested information. The department claims some of the submitted information was not properly requested pursuant to section 1701.661 of the Occupations Code. The department also claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information at issue. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). The department states the information it marked relates to a pending criminal prosecution. Based on this representation, we conclude release of the information at issue will interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the information at issue.

However, we note section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. See 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). We note basic information includes, among other items, a detailed description of the offense, but does not include dates of birth or motor vehicle record information encompassed by section 552.130 of the Government Code. See ORD 127 at 3-4. In this instance, the department marked the entire narrative portion of the submitted incident report as information it seeks to withhold under section 552.108. The remaining information at issue does not contain information sufficient to satisfy the requirement that a "detailed description of the offense" be released as basic information. See *id.* Accordingly, we determine the department must release a sufficient portion of the narrative to encompass a detailed description of the offense. Thus, with the exception of basic information, which must be released, the department may withhold the information it marked under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Alexandra C. Burks  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ACB/jxd

---

<sup>2</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information. Further, as we are able to make this determination, we do not address the applicability of section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code to the submitted video recordings. See generally Occ. Code § 1701.661(a), (e).

Ms. April Earley - Page 3

Ref: ID# 984239

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)