



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 29, 2022

Ms. Kelli H. Karczewski
Counsel for the Longview Independent School District
Karczewski, Bradshaw & Spalding
315 North Church Street
Nacogdoches, Texas 75961

OR2022-30163

Dear Ms. Karczewski:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 972101.

The Longview Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for certain personnel and disciplinary information pertaining to four named individuals. You state the district has redacted student-identifying information pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code.¹ You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.107, and 552.108 of the Government Code and privileged under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. Additionally, you state the district notified East Texas Advanced Academies ("ETAA"), the Gregg County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office"), and the State Board of Educator Certification ("SBEC") of the request for information and their right to submit arguments to this office.² See Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating

¹ The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act. The DOE has determined FERPA determinations must be made by the educational authority in possession of the educational records. We have posted a copy of the letter from the DOE on the Attorney General's website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/files/divisions/open-government/20060725-USDOE-FERPA.pdf>

² As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from ETAA or SBEC.

why information should or should not be released). You have provided comments from the district attorney's office. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.³

Initially, we note some of the information at issue is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

[T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Id. § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information includes a completed report subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. The district must release this information pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or expressly made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* Although you raise sections 552.103 and 552.107 of the Government Code for the information at issue, we note these sections are discretionary exceptions to disclosure and do not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 10-11 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under Gov't Code § 552.107(1) may be waived), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the completed report subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may not be withheld under either section 552.103 or section 552.107. However, the Texas Supreme Court has held the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Accordingly, we will address your attorney-client privilege claim under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence for the information at issue. Additionally, because information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld under section 552.108 of the Government Code, we will consider the argument under this section against disclosure of the information at issue. Finally, we will also address your arguments against disclosure of the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(1).

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.*

³ We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

§§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Section 552.108 may be invoked by the proper custodian of information relating to a pending investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. *See* Open Records Decision No. 474 at 4-5 (1987). Where an agency has custody of information that would otherwise qualify for exception under section 552.108 as information relating to the pending case of a law enforcement agency, the custodian of the records may withhold the information only if it provides this office with (1) a demonstration the information relates to the pending case, and (2) a representation from the law enforcement agency stating that it wishes to have the information withheld.

You state the information at issue relates to an active criminal prosecution that is pending with the district attorney's office. You also state, and provide documentation demonstrating, the district attorney's office objects to the disclosure of the information at issue because its release would interfere with the prosecution of the case. Based on these representations, we conclude the release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (delineating law enforcement interests present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code on behalf of the district attorney's office.⁴

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Blake Brennan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

BBX/JM

⁴ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

Ref: ID# 972101

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)