



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 20, 2022

Ms. Rebecca Bradley  
Counsel for the Plano Independent School District  
Abernathy Roeder Boyd Hullett  
1700 Redbud Boulevard, Suite 300  
McKinney, Texas 75070-1210

OR2022-28875

Dear Ms. Bradley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 970207 (PISD File Nos. 1350, 1351, and 1352).

The Plano Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received three requests from different requestors for certain information pertaining to a specified solicitation. Although the district takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Computer Tech Recycling; Cornerstone Technologies, Inc.; Delcom Group; Gem Southwest, LLC; Global Asset; Recycling Surplus, Inc.; Retire PC; STS Electronic Recycling; Upward Assets; and Vantage Point ITAD, Inc. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the district notified each third party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).* We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).* As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should

not be released. Accordingly, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information, and the district may not withhold any portion of it on that basis. *See, e.g., id.* § 552.110 (requiring the provision of specific factual evidence demonstrating the applicability of the exception). Accordingly, the district must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Gerald A. Arismendez  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

GAA/jm

Ref: ID# 970207

c: 3 Requestors

7 Third Parties