



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 2, 2022

Ms. Kacey Villafuerte  
Counsel for the Uvalde Consolidated Independent School District  
Denton, Navarro, Rocha, Bernal & Zech, P.C.  
6770 West Expressway 83, Suite 301  
Harlingen, Texas 78552

OR2022-26780

Dear Ms. Villafuerte:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 968168.

The Uvalde Consolidated Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for specified video recordings and duty rosters. You state the district does not have information responsive to portions of the request.<sup>1</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have also received and considered comments from a representative of the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should or should not be released). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the internal records and notations of law enforcement agencies and prosecutors when their release would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. *Id.* § 552.108(b)(1); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 531 at 2 (1989) (quoting *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977)). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(b)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706. Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize

---

<sup>1</sup> The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State.” *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320 at 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). This office has concluded section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 of the Government Code is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). Section 552.108(b)(1) is not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g.*, ORDs 531 at 2-3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You state the submitted information, if released, would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution of crime. You inform us the information at issue consists of work schedules for the district’s police officers. You explain the district’s police department “is small, the officers typically work similar schedules each week, such that scheduling patter[n]s are easily discernable. Future work schedules will be similar to the submitted schedules and would include the same patterns.” You argue release of the information at issue could give criminals a tactical advantage and jeopardize officers’ safety. Based on your representations and our review, we agree the release of the information at issue would interfere with law enforcement. Accordingly, the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kelly McWethy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KM/jxd

---

<sup>2</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

Ref: ID# 968168

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors  
(w/o enclosures)