



KEN PAXTON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 10, 2022

Mr. Bill Delmore  
Assistant District Attorney  
Montgomery County District Attorney's Office  
207 West Phillips, 2nd Floor  
Conroe, Texas 77301

OR2022-23798

Dear Mr. Delmore:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 964713.

The Montgomery County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for the case file for a specified case. The district attorney's office claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the district attorney's office claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, the district attorney's office informs us it sought clarification of the request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing that if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or over-broad request for public information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed). The district attorney's office also informs us it has not yet received a response to the request for clarification. We note a governmental body has a duty to make a good-faith effort to relate a request for information to information the governmental body holds. Open Records Decision No. 561 (1990). In this instance, the district attorney's office has submitted information it believes is responsive to the request and made arguments against disclosure of this information. Thus, we assume the district attorney's office has made a good-faith effort to relate the request to information it holds, and we will address the applicability of the arguments to the

information. However, the district attorney's office has no obligation at this time to release any additional responsive information for which it has not received clarification. If the requestor responds to the request for clarification, the district attorney's office must seek a ruling from this office before withholding any additional responsive information from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b); *City of Dallas*, 304 S.W.3d at 387.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code states, in pertinent part, the following:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:

...

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

...

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

...

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3). A governmental body claiming an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706, 710 (Tex. 1977). In *Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994), the Texas Supreme Court held a request for a district attorney's "entire litigation file" was "too broad" and, quoting *National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Valdez*, 863 S.W.2d 458, 460 (Tex. 1993), held "the decision as to what to include in [the

file] necessarily reveals the attorney's thought processes concerning the prosecution or defense of the case." 873 S.W.2d at 380. The district attorney's office states the request for information encompasses the entire prosecution file of the district attorney's office for the specified case. The district attorney's office further states the submitted information reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of attorneys representing the state in the case at issue. Based on these representations and our review, we agree subsections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) of the Government Code are applicable to the information at issue.

However, we note section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*. See 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); see also Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). Thus, with the exception of the basic information, which must be released, the district attorney's office may withhold the submitted information under subsections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) of the Government Code and the court's ruling in *Curry*.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Gerald A. Arismendez  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

GAA/jm

Ref: ID# 964713

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)