



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 22, 2022

Ms. Morgan Beam  
Counsel for the Huntsville Independent School District  
Walsh Gallegos Treviño Kyle & Robinson P.C.  
10375 Richmond Avenue, Suite 1357  
Houston, Texas 77042-4196

OR2022-21628

Dear Ms. Beam:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 959698.

The Huntsville Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for all information related to a specified incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note you have only submitted video recordings related to the specified incident. Although you state you have submitted a representative sample of the requested information, we find the submitted information is not representative of all the information to which the requestor seeks access. Please be advised this open records letter applies to only the types of information you have submitted for our review. This ruling does not authorize the district to withhold any information that is substantially different from the types of information you submitted to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302. Therefore, to the extent information responsive to the remaining portions of the request exists and was maintained by the district on the date it received the request, we assume you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, you must do so at this time. *Id.* §§ 552.301(a), .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible under circumstances).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 418.182 of the Government Code, which was added to chapter 418 of the Government Code as part of the Texas Homeland Security Act. Section 418.182 provides, in part:

(a) [I]nformation . . . in the possession of a governmental entity that relates to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity is confidential.

*Id.* § 418.182(a). The fact information may generally be related to a security system does not make the information *per se* confidential under section 418.182. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation by a governmental body of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of a claimed provision. As with any confidentiality provision, a governmental body asserting section 418.182 must adequately explain how the responsive information falls within the scope of the statute. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

The submitted information consists of surveillance footage from a district school. You state the surveillance camera at issue is part of the district’s security system, and the submitted surveillance camera recording reveals the location, capabilities, and coverage of the district’s surveillance cameras. You further state the surveillance cameras at issue are used to protect public property from possible acts of terrorism and related activity. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the submitted surveillance camera recording is related to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity. *See Tex. Dep’t of Pub. Safety v. Abbott*, 310 S.W.3d 670 (Tex. App.—Austin 2010, no pet.) (recorded images necessarily relate to specifications of security system that recorded them, and thus, are confidential under section 418.182). Accordingly, the district must withhold the submitted surveillance camera recording under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.182(a) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable

charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Tim Neal  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TN/mo

Ref: ID# 959698

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)