



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 18, 2022

Ms. Tatiana T. Dennis
Counsel for Ector County Independent School District
Atkins, Hollman, Jones, Peacock, Lewis & Lyon, PC
3800 East 42nd Street, Suite 500
Odessa, Texas 79762

OR2022-20812

Dear Ms. Dennis:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 960451.

The Ector County Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to the requestor's child.¹ You state you have released some of the requested information. You state you have redacted student-identifying information from the submitted documents pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code.² You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't

¹ You state the district sought and received clarification of the information requested. See Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); see also *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

² The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or student consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act. The DOE has determined FERPA determinations must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website: <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/files/divisions/open-government/20060725-USDOE-FERPA.pdf>.

Code § 552.101. This section encompasses section 21.355 of the Education Code. Section 21.355(a) provides “[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential[.]” Educ. Code § 21.355(a). Additionally, the Third Court of Appeals has concluded a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355 as it “reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *Abbott v. N. E. Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher. *See* Open Records Decision No. 643 at 3 (1996). We also determined a “teacher” for purposes of section 21.355 means a person who (1) is required to and does in fact hold a teaching certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code or a school district teaching permit under section 21.055 and (2) is engaged in the process of teaching, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *See id.* at 4.

The district asserts the submitted information consists of confidential evaluations of a teacher by the district. You inform us the teacher at issue was certified as a teacher by the State Board of Educator Certification and was acting as a teacher at the time the evaluations were prepared. Based on your representations and our review, we find the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code. However, the remaining information pertains to the employee at issue in her capacity as an athletics coach and not as a teacher. Therefore, we find the district has failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information consists of a document evaluating the performance of a teacher for the purposes of section 21.355 of the Education Code. Consequently, the district may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Nick Ybarra
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NY/mo

Ref: ID# 960451

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)