



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

June 29, 2022

Ms. Kristi Godden  
Counsel for the La Joya Independent School District  
O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo  
808 West Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701-2208

OR2022-18857

Dear Ms. Godden:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 956135 (Ref. No. 22-025).

The La Joya Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for personnel records pertaining to a named district employee. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information, which we have marked, is not responsive to the present request for information because it does not consist of personnel records pertaining to the individual named in the request. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request and the district is not required to release such information in response to the present request.<sup>1</sup>

Next, we note the responsive information contains a peace officer's Texas Commission on Law Enforcement ("TCOLE") identification number. Section 552.002(a) of the Government Code defines "public information" as information that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

(1) by a governmental body;

---

<sup>1</sup> As we are able to make this determination, we need not address your arguments against disclosure of this information.

(2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:

(A) owns the information;

(B) has a right of access to the information; or

(C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or

(3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer's or employee's official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

Gov't Code § 552.002(a). In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. We understand an officer's TCOLE identification number is a unique computer-generated number assigned to peace officers for identification in TCOLE's electronic database and may be used as an access device number on the TCOLE website. Thus, we find the officer's TCOLE identification number does not constitute public information under section 552.002 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the submitted TCOLE identification number is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section

---

<sup>2</sup> As we are able to make this determination, we need not address your arguments against disclosure of this information.

159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004. This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982).*

Upon review, we find some of the remaining information at issue, which we have marked, constitutes records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that were created or are maintained by a physician. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. However, we find none of the remaining responsive information constitutes medical records subject to section 159.002. Therefore, the district may not withhold any portion of the remaining responsive information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.102(b) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "a transcript from an institution of higher education maintained in the personnel file of a professional public school employee[.]" Gov't Code § 552.102(b). We must consider whether the Legislature intended the term "professional public school employee" in section 552.102(b) to include a police officer, security officer, or tutor employed by the district. Section 552.102(b) does not define "professional public school employee." When construing a statute, a court may consider the circumstances under which the Legislature enacted the statute as well as its legislative history. *Id.* § 311.023(2), (3); *City of Rockwall v. Hughes*, 246 S.W.3d 621, 626 n.6 (Tex. 2008). In 1989, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 404 ("S.B. 404") as an amendment to the Act to include the statutory predecessor to section 552.102(b). The Senate sponsor of S.B. 404, Senator Don Henderson, stated before the Senate Committee for State Affairs the bill was a response to "people trying to get past a teacher's degree, past a teacher's hiring, . . . [and] past a school board's determination that a teacher was qualified to teach[.]" Hearing on S.B. 404 Before the Senate Comm. for State Affairs, 71st Leg., R.S. (February 27, 1989) (statement of Senator Henderson) (recording available from Senate Staff Services Office). During the Senate floor debate of the bill, Senator Henderson further questioned the purpose of "any citizen being able to look at any teacher's transcript" because "there are several other means by which we say teachers are qualified to teach in this state." *Id.*; *see also* Debate on Tex. S.B. 404 on the Floor of the Senate, 71st Leg., R.S. (March 13, 1989) (statement of Senator Henderson describing S.B. 404 as relating to privacy of a teacher's transcript; statement of Senator Caperton summarizing S.B. 404 as balancing public's right to know with teacher's right of privacy) (recording available from Senate Staff Services Office). In addition, Representative Paul J. Hilbert, the House sponsor of S.B. 404, stated during the debate on the House floor that the statute was intended to protect teachers' college transcripts. *See* Debate on Tex. S.B. 404 on the Floor of the House, 71st Leg., R.S. (May 10 and 11, 1989) (statements of Representative Hilbert introducing S.B. 404 as applying to transcripts of teachers) (recording available from House Video/Audio Services). Therefore, we believe the

legislative history of section 552.102(b) shows the Legislature enacted the predecessor statute to section 552.102(b) to protect the transcripts of only professional educators, rather than the transcripts of all public school employees. *See* Open Records Decision No. 526 (1989) (addressing predecessor statute in light of previous lack of exception for “qualifications of professional public school employees to teach”) (emphasis added). Upon review, we find you have failed to establish the district employee whose information is at issue is an educator for purposes of section 552.102(b). Thus, section 552.102(b) is not applicable to this district employee’s transcript. Moreover, we note the remaining information at issue does not consist of transcripts of professional public school employees of the district. Therefore, the district may not withhold any portion of the remaining responsive information under section 552.102(b) of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470, 467 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications, including college transcripts, of public employees).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code. Section 1324a governs I-9 forms and their related documents. This section provides an I-9 form and “any information contained in or appended to such form, may not be used for purposes other than for enforcement of this chapter” and for enforcement of other federal statutes governing crime and criminal investigations. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(b)(5); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 274a.2(b)(4). Release of the information at issue in this instance would be “for purposes other than enforcement” of the referenced federal statutes. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). Accordingly, the district must withhold the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). This office has also found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (employee’s designation of retirement beneficiary, choice of insurance carrier, election of optional

coverages, direct deposit authorization, forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). Upon review, we find some of the remaining responsive information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code, except as provided by section 552.024(a-1).<sup>3</sup> See Gov't Code §§ 552.117(a)(1), .024. Section 552.024(a-1) of the Government Code provides, “[a] school district may not require an employee or former employee of the district to choose whether to allow public access to the employee’s or former employee’s social security number.” *Id.* § 552.024(a-1). Thus, the district may only withhold under section 552.117 the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of the district who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. We note section 552.117 is applicable to personal cellular telephone numbers, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. See Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). We also note a post office box number is not a “home address” for purposes of section 552.117(a). See Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of Gov't Code § 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. We note the remaining information indicates the individual whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality pursuant to section 552.024 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the cellular telephone number at issue may be withheld only if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license, motor vehicle title or registration,

---

<sup>3</sup> The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470.

or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the district must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). Accordingly, the district must withhold the personal e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the individual to whom the e-mail address belongs affirmatively consents to its release. *See id.* § 552.137(b).

Section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code provides, “[t]he social security number of an employee of a school district in the custody of the district is confidential.” *Id.* § 552.147(a-1). Thus, section 552.147(a-1) makes the social security numbers of school district employees confidential, without such employees being required to first make a confidentiality election under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.024(a-1) (a school district may not require an employee or former employee of the district to choose whether to allow public access to the employee’s or former employee’s social security number). Reading sections 552.024(a-1) and 552.147(a-1) together, we conclude that section 552.147(a-1) makes confidential the social security numbers of both current and former school district employees. Accordingly, the district must withhold the social security number we have marked under section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code.

In summary, the submitted TCOLE identification number is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code. The district must withhold the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the cellular telephone numbers at issue may be withheld only if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. The district must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the personal e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the individual to whom the e-mail address belongs affirmatively consents to its release. The district must withhold the social security number we have marked under section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining responsive information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Blake Brennan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

BBX/mo

Ref: ID# 956135

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)