



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

June 20, 2022

Mr. Michael B. Halla
Counsel for the City of Venus
The Halla Law Firm
700 West Highway 67
Venus, Texas 76084

OR2022-17607

Dear Mr. Halla:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 954045.

The City of Venus (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for certain personnel information pertaining to a named individual. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.117, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information includes a Texas Commission on Law Enforcement ("TCOLE") identification number. Section 552.002(a) of the Government Code defines "public information" as the following:

[I]nformation that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

- (1) by a governmental body;
- (2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:
 - (A) owns the information;
 - (B) has a right of access to the information; or

(C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or

(3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer's or employee's official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

Gov't Code § 552.002(a). In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. We understand the TCOLE identification number is a unique computer-generated number assigned for identification in TCOLE's electronic database and may be used as an access device number on the TCOLE website. Thus, we find the TCOLE number does not constitute public information under section 552.002 of the Government Code. Therefore, the submitted TCOLE number is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code. Prior decisions of this office have held section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code renders federal tax return information confidential. *See* Attorney General Opinion H-1274 (1978) (tax returns); Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (W-4 forms); 226 (1979) (W-2 forms). Section 6103(b) defines the term "return information" as "a taxpayer's identity, the nature, source, or amount of his income, payments, receipts, deductions, exemptions, credits, assets, liabilities, net worth, tax liability, tax withheld, deficiencies, overassessments, or tax payments . . . or any other data, received by, recorded by, prepared by, furnished to, or collected by the Secretary [of the Treasury] with respect to a return or with respect to the determination of the existence, or possible existence, of liability . . . for any tax, penalty, interest, fine, forfeiture, or other imposition, or offense[.]" *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2)(A). Federal courts have construed the term "return information" expansively to include any information gathered by the Internal Revenue Service regarding a taxpayer's liability under title 26 of the United States Code. *See Mallas v. Kolak*, 721 F. Supp. 748, 754 (M.D.N.C. 1989), *dismissed in part, aff'd in part, vacated in part, and remanded*, 993 F.2d 1111 (4th Cir. 1993). Upon review, we find none of the remaining information constitutes tax return information for the purposes of section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code.

¹ As we are able to make this determination, we need not address the submitted arguments against disclosure of this information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by chapter 411 of the Government Code, which makes confidential criminal history record information (“CHRI”) generated by the National Crime Information Center (“NCIC”) or by the Texas Crime Information Center. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083(a). Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual laws with respect to the CHRI it generates. *See id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Texas Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) maintains, except DPS may disseminate this information as provided by chapter 411, subchapter F, or subchapter E-1 of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083(a).

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-.127. Thus, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with chapter 411, subchapter F, of the Government Code. We note section 411.083 does not apply to active warrant information or other information relating to one’s current involvement in the criminal justice system. *See id.* § 411.081(b) (police department allowed to disclose information pertaining to person’s current involvement in the criminal justice system). We also note records relating to routine traffic violations are not considered criminal history. *Cf. id.* § 411.082(2)(B) (criminal history record information does not include driving record information). Upon review, we find some of the remaining information, which we marked, consists of CHRI that is confidential under section 411.083. Thus, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 411.192 of the Government Code, which provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) [DPS] shall disclose to a criminal justice agency information contained in its files and records regarding whether a named individual or any individual named in a specified list is licensed under this subchapter. Information on an individual subject to disclosure under this section includes the individual’s name, date of birth, gender, race, zip code, telephone number, e-mail address, and Internet website address. Except as otherwise provided by this section and by Section 411.193, all other records maintained under this subchapter are confidential and are not subject to mandatory disclosure under the [Act].

² As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your arguments against disclosure of this information.

(b) An applicant or license holder may be furnished a copy of disclosable records regarding the applicant or license holder on request and the payment of a reasonable fee.

Id. § 411.192(a)-(b). Upon review, we find some of the remaining information at issue consists of concealed handgun license information that was obtained from DPS. In this instance, we note the requestor is neither the license holder nor a criminal justice agency. Therefore, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.192(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” *Id.* § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). Accordingly, the city must withhold the employee’s date of birth we marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(19) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a firefighter or volunteer firefighter or emergency medical services personnel as defined by Section 773.003 of the Health and Safety Code, regardless of whether the current or former employee complies with section 552.024 or 552.1175. Gov’t Code § 552.117(a)(19). We note, for purposes of section 552.117, “family member” means a spouse, minor child, or adult child who resides in the person’s home. *See id.* § 552.117(c) (providing “family member” has meaning assigned by Fin. Code § 31.006(d)). We note section 552.117 also encompasses a personal cellular telephone number, unless the cellular service is paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). We further note section 552.117 is not applicable to a former spouse and does not protect the fact that a governmental employee has been divorced. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(19) of the Government Code; however, any cellular telephone number at issue must be withheld only if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. However, none of the remaining information is subject to section 552.117(a)(19) of the Government Code, and may not be withheld on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Additionally, this office has found personal financial information not relating

to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally private. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (employee's designation of retirement beneficiary, choice of insurance carrier, election of optional coverages, direct deposit authorization, forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989) (common-law privacy protects credit reports, financial statements, and other personal financial information), 455 at 9 (employment applicant's salary information not private), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). We also note the public generally has a legitimate interest in information that relates to public employment and public employees. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 562 at 10 (1990) (personnel file information does not involve most intimate aspects of human affairs, but in fact touches on matters of legitimate public concern), 542 (1990), 470 at 4 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications and performance of public employees), 444 at 5-6 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees). The court of appeals has concluded public citizens' dates of birth are protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *See Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). We note that because “the right of privacy is purely personal[,]” that right “terminates upon the death of the person whose privacy is invaded[.]” *Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); *see also Justice v. Belo Broad. Corp.*, 472 F. Supp. 145, 146-47 (N.D. Tex. 1979) (“action for invasion of privacy can be maintained only by a living individual whose privacy is invaded”) (quoting RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 6251 (1977)); *see* Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984) (“the right of privacy lapses upon death”), H-917 (1976) (“We are . . . of the opinion that the Texas courts would follow the almost uniform rule of other jurisdictions that the right of privacy lapses upon death.”); Open Records Decision No. 272 (1981). Accordingly, information pertaining to a deceased individual may not be withheld on common-law privacy grounds.

Upon review, we find some of the remaining information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the city must generally withhold the information we marked and all living, identifiable public citizens' dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find some of the remaining information pertains to individuals who may be de-identified. Thus, their privacy interest would be protected. Accordingly, we must rule conditionally. To the extent the individuals at issue will be de-identified, the city may not withhold the information pertaining to those individuals under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the individuals at issue will not be de-identified, the city must withhold the information pertaining to these individuals under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.1175 of the Government Code protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals, when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the

information confidential.³ Gov't Code § 552.1175. Section 552.1175 applies, in part, to “current or honorably retired peace officers as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure[,]” as well as “a firefighter or volunteer firefighter or emergency medical services personnel as defined by Section 773.003, Health and Safety Code.” *Id.* § 552.1175(a)(1), (18); *see also id.* § 552.003(1-b) (defining “honorably retired” for purposes of the Act). We note section 552.1175 encompasses a personal cellular telephone number, provided a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. *See* ORD 506 at 5-6. Thus, to the extent the information we marked pertains to individuals who are subject to section 552.1175(a) of the Government Code and who elect to restrict access to their information in accordance with section 552.1175(b) of the Government Code, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1175 of the Government Code; however, the marked cellular telephone numbers may only be withheld if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone services.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Therefore, the city must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. However, we find the remaining information does not consist of motor vehicle record information subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code. Therefore, the city may not withhold any portion of the remaining information under section 552.130.

Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a government body is confidential.” *Id.* § 552.136(b). Section 552.136(a) defines “access device” as “a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to . . . obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value [or] initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.” *Id.* § 552.136(a). This office has determined an insurance policy number is an access device number for purposes of this exception. *See* Open Records Decision No. 684 at 9 (2009). Accordingly, the city must withhold the insurance policy number we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. However, we find the city has failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information consists of access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any portion of the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail

³ The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the city must withhold the e-mail addresses of members of the public in the remaining information under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure.

In summary, the submitted TCOLE number is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor. The city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code and federal law. The city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.192(a) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the employee's date we marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(19) of the Government Code; however, any cellular telephone number at issue must be withheld only if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. To the extent the information at issue does not pertain to an individual who has been deidentified, the city must withhold the information we marked and all living, identifiable public citizens' dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the information we marked pertains to individuals who are subject to section 552.1175(a) of the Government Code and who elect to restrict access to their information in accordance with section 552.1175(b) of the Government Code, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1175 of the Government Code; however, the marked cellular telephone numbers may only be withheld if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone services. The city must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the insurance policy number we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the e-mail addresses of members of the public in the remaining information under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure. The city must release the remaining information.⁴

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable

⁴ We note the remaining information contains social security numbers; however, some of the social security numbers belong to a deceased individual. Although section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act, this section does not apply to the social security number of a deceased individual. Gov't Code § 552.147(b). Therefore, the city may only withhold the social security number of the living individual within the remaining information under section 552.147 of the Government Code.

charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Chase D. Young
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CDY/mo

Ref: ID# 954045

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)