



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

June 14, 2022

Ms. Sarah S. Flournoy
Counsel for the Grapevine-Colleyville Independent School District
Brackett & Ellis, P.C.
100 Main Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3090

OR2022-17124

Dear Ms. Flournoy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 951737 (GCISD PRR P000651-032822).

The Grapevine-Colleyville Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a specified list and two surveys. You indicate the district has released some of the responsive information to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of AIM For Success ("AIM"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified AIM of the request for information and of the right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

You state, and we agree, the submitted information was the subject of a previous request for information, as a result of which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2022-13285 (2022). In that ruling, we determined the district must release the submitted information. We have no indication there has been any change in the law, facts, or circumstances on which the previous ruling was based. Accordingly, we conclude the district must rely on Open Records Letter No. 2022-13285 as a previous determination and release the submitted information in accordance with that ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not

changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Gerald A. Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/be

Ref: ID# 951737

c: Requestor