



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 23, 2022

Ms. Tessa K. Meredith
Counsel for the City of Denison
Messer Fort McDonald PLLC
6371 Preston Road, Suite 200
Frisco, Texas 75034

OR2022-14799

Dear Ms. Meredith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 949198 (ORR ID: D030222SB).

The City of Denison (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests from the same requestor for information pertaining to a specified incident, 9-1-1 calls made from a specified phone number, and 9-1-1 calls pertaining to a specified address. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under [the Act] and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

...

(k) Notwithstanding Subsection (a), an investigating agency, other than the [Texas Department of Family and Protective Services] or the Texas Juvenile Justice Department, on request, shall provide to the parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of a child who is the subject of reported abuse or neglect, or to the child if the child is at least 18 years of age, information concerning the reported abuse or neglect that would otherwise be confidential under this section. The investigating agency shall withhold information under this subsection if the parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of the child requesting the information is alleged to have committed the abuse or neglect.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a), (k). You state Exhibit 2 was used or developed in investigations of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect. *See id.* § 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code); *see also id.* § 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes). Upon review, we agree CFS report number 22004311 and the related audio and video recordings are within the scope of section 261.201 of the Family Code.

In this instance, the requestor is a parent of the child victim listed in information at issue. However, the information at issue reflects the requestor is also alleged to have committed the suspected abuse or neglect. Accordingly, this requestor does not have a right of access to the information pursuant to section 261.201(k). *See id.* § 261.201(k). Thus, CFS report number 22004311 and the related audio and video recordings must be withheld in their entireties under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.¹

However, we find you have failed to demonstrate CFS report number 22005979 was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect under section 261.201(a)(2). Furthermore, you have not established the information at issue is a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under section 261.201(a)(1). *See id.* § 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of Fam. Code ch. 261). Therefore, the city may not withhold CFS report number 22005979 under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.

¹ As we are able to make this determination, we do not address the applicability of section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code to the submitted video recordings. *See generally* Occ. Code § 1701.661(a), (e).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses chapter 772 of the Health and Safety Code, which authorizes the development of local emergency communication districts. Section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than 20,000 and makes confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers that are furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). The city informs us it is part of an emergency communication district that is subject to section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. To the extent the remaining information contains the originating telephone number or address of a 9-1-1 caller furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier, the city must withhold such information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. To the extent the remaining information does not contain the originating telephone number or address of a 9-1-1 caller provided by a 9-1-1 service supplier, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information on that ground. Section 773.318 is not applicable to the names that the city has marked and the city may not withhold any names under section 552.101 on that ground.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Id.* at 682. The Third Court of Appeals has concluded public citizens' dates of birth are protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). However, we note the information at issue includes the requestor's date of birth. The requestor has a right of access to this information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a) (person or person's authorized representative has special right of access to records that contain information relating to the person that are protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individual requests information concerning himself). Upon review, except for the requestor's date of birth, the city must withhold the public citizens' dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the internal records and notations of law enforcement agencies and prosecutors when their release would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 531 at 2 (1989) (quoting *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977)). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(b)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706. Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State." *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320 at 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). This office has concluded section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from public disclosure information

relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 of the Government Code is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). Section 552.108(b)(1) is not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g.*, ORDs 531 at 2–3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You seek to withhold some of the remaining information pursuant to section 552.108(b)(1). You claim release of a firearm serial number would interfere with law enforcement. Based on this representation and our review, we agree the release of the firearm serial number you have marked would interfere with law enforcement. Accordingly, the city may withhold the information you marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country.² *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the city must withhold the submitted driver's license number under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, CFS report number 22004311 and the related audio and video recordings must be withheld in their entireties under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code. To the extent the remaining information contains the originating telephone number or address of a 9-1-1 caller furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier, the city must withhold such information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. Except for the requestor's date of birth, the city must withhold the public citizens' dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city may withhold the information you marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the submitted driver's license number under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open

² The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Copeland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JC/be

Ref: ID# 949198

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)