



KEN PAXTON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 19, 2022

Mr. Trenton M. Dietz  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Abilene  
P.O. Box 60  
Abilene, Texas 79604-0060

OR2022-14401

Dear Mr. Dietz:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 948848 (Ref. No. 22-395).

The City of Abilene (the "city") received a request for video recordings pertaining to the arrest of the requestor's client. You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note, and you acknowledge, portions of the submitted information are subject to article 2.1396 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which provides:

A person stopped or arrested on suspicion of an offense under Section 49.04, 49.045, 49.07, or 49.08, Penal Code, is entitled to receive from a law enforcement agency employing the peace officer who made the stop or arrest a copy of any video made by or at the direction of the officer that contains footage of:

- (1) the stop;
  - (2) the arrest;
  - (3) the conduct of the person stopped during any interaction with the officer, including during the administration of a field sobriety test;
- or

(4) a procedure in which a specimen of the person's breath or blood is taken.

Crim. Proc. Code art. 2.1396. The information at issue consists of video recordings made by or at the direction of city police department officers that contain footage of the requestor's client being stopped or arrested on suspicion of an offense under section 49.04 of the Penal Code. *See* Penal Code § 49.04 ("A person commits an offense if the person is intoxicated while operating a motor vehicle in a public place."). Therefore, the requestor is entitled to receive copies of these portions of the video recordings pursuant to article 2.1396 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Although you seek to withhold the information at issue under section 552.108 of the Government Code, a statutory right of access prevails over the Act's general exceptions to public disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 613 at 4 (1993) (exceptions in Act cannot impinge on statutory right of access to information), 451 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exception to disclosure under the Act). Because section 552.108 is a general exception under the Act, the requestor's right of statutory access under article 2.1396 of the Code of Criminal Procedure prevails. Therefore, the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted video recordings that depicts the stop, the arrest, the conduct of the requestor's client, or a procedure in which a specimen of the blood or breath of the requestor's client is taken under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the city must release the portions of the video recordings that depict the stop, the arrest, the conduct of the requestor's client, or a procedure in which a specimen of the blood or breath of the requestor's client is taken pursuant to article 2.1396 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. However, we will consider your argument against disclosure of the remaining information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information at issue. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706. You state the remaining information at issue relates to a pending criminal investigation or prosecution. Based on this representation, we conclude release of the information at issue will interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, we find the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must release the portions of the video recordings that depict the stop, the arrest, the conduct of the requestor's client, or a procedure in which a specimen of the blood or breath of the requestor's client is taken pursuant to article 2.1396 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Alexandra C. Burks  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ACB/jxd

Ref: ID# 948848

c: Requestor