



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 12, 2022

Ms. Amber K. King
General Counsel
Lake Travis Independent School District
3222 Ranch Road 620 South
Austin, Texas 78738

OR2022-13611

Dear Ms. King:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 947224.

The Lake Travis Independent School District (the "district") received a request for five categories of information pertaining to a named company. You state the district has released some of the requested information. You also state the district has no information responsive to portions of the request.¹ You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you state most of the submitted information, which you have highlighted, is not responsive to the instant request for information. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request and the district is not required to release such information in response to this request.

Next, you state the responsive information was the subject of a previous request for information, as a result of which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2022-03672 (2022). In that ruling, we determined, in pertinent part, the district may withhold the information at issue under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have no indication

¹ The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

there has been any change in the law, facts, or circumstances on which the previous ruling was based. Accordingly, we conclude the district may rely on Open Records Letter No. 2022-03672 as a previous determination and withhold the responsive information in accordance with that ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Nick Ybarra
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NY/jm

Ref: ID# 947224

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)