



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 10, 2022

Mr. David V. Overcash
Counsel for the City of Princeton
Wolfe Tidwell & McCoy, L.L.P.
2591 Dallas Parkway, Suite 205
Frisco, Texas 75034

OR2022-13342

Dear Mr. Overcash:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 946847 (ORR# W028775-020422).

The City of Princeton (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. The city claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exceptions.

Initially, we note some of the requested information may have been the subject of a previous request for information, in response to which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2022-03197 (2022). In Open Records Letter No. 2022-03197, we concluded, with the exception of basic information, which the city must release, the city may withhold the information at issue under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code; and the city must release the remaining information. There is no indication the law, facts, and circumstances on which the prior ruling was based have changed. Accordingly, for the requested information that is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon by this office, we conclude the city may continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2022-03197 as a previous determination and withhold or release the identical information in accordance with that ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body,

and ruling concludes information is or is not excepted from disclosure). To the extent the requested information was not subject to prior ruling, we will address your arguments.

We must address the city's procedural obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code when requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body must submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e). The city received the request for information on February 4, 2022. However, as of the date of this letter, the city has not submitted for our review written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply or a copy or representative sample of the information requested. Consequently, we find the city failed to comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless there is a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). Although the city raises exceptions to disclosure, because you have not submitted the requested information for our review, we have no basis for finding any of the information excepted from disclosure. Thus, we have no choice but to order the requested information released pursuant to section 552.302. If you believe the information may not lawfully be released, you must challenge this ruling in court pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code.

In summary, to the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon by this office, the city may continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2022-03197 as a previous determination and withhold or release the identical information in accordance with that ruling. To the extent the remainder of the requested information is not encompassed by the previous ruling, the city must release the remaining information pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open

Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kelly McWethy
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KM/be

Ref: ID# 946847

c: Requestor