



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 10, 2022

Ms. Megan R. Santee
Counsel for the City of Rollingwood
Denton Navarro Rocha Bernal & Zech, P.C.
2500 West William Cannon Drive, Suite 609
Austin, Texas 78745-5320

OR2022-03943

Dear Ms. Santee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 930551 (Ref. No. 2021-11-05-01].

The City of Rollingwood (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for city utility service maps. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code and protected under federal copyright law. You also state you notified LNV Engineering, Inc. of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information that is made confidential by other statutes. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Homeland Security Act (the "HSA"). Sections 418.176 through 418.182 were added to chapter 418

¹ We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

of the Government Code as part of the HSA. Section 418.181 provides “[t]hose documents or portions of documents in the possession of a governmental entity are confidential if they identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism.” *Id.* § 418.181. The fact that information may relate to a governmental body’s security measures does not make the information *per se* confidential under the HSA. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of the claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a claim under section 418.181 must be accompanied by an adequate explanation of how the responsive records fall within the scope of the claimed provision. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

You assert, and we agree, the city’s water system is critical infrastructure. *See generally id.* § 421.001 (defining “critical infrastructure” to include “all public or private assets, systems, and functions vital to the security, governance, public health and safety, economy, or morale of the state or the nation”). You state the submitted information “includes the specific locations of all lines for connectivity, pressures, and depths.” You state, if released, the submitted information could be used to determine “where and how to deliver a contaminant or cause a disruption to have the greatest impact[.]” Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the submitted information identifies the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of the city’s water system to an act of terrorism. Thus, the submitted information must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Emily Kunst
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

EK/mo

² As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure.

Ms. Megan R. Santee - Page 3

Ref: ID# 930551

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)