



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 25, 2022

Ms. Megan R. Santee
Counsel for the City of Pflugerville
Denton Navarro Rocha Bernal & Zech, P.C.
2500 West William Cannon Drive, Suite 609
Austin, Texas 78745-5320

OR2022-02000

Dear Ms. Santee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 926569 (City ID# W012694).

The City of Pflugerville (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for submitted proposals and evaluation documents related to a specified request for proposals. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104, 552.1101, and 552.111 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of the information at issue may implicate the proprietary interests of Acadian Ambulance Service, Inc.; Allegiance Mobile Health; American Medical Response Solution; and Travis County Emergency Services District No. 2. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation demonstrating, the county notified these interested third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that a governmental body demonstrates, if released, would "harm its interests by providing an advantage to a competitor or bidder in a particular ongoing competitive situation or in a particular competitive situation where the governmental body establishes the situation at issue is set to reoccur or there is a specific and demonstrable intent to enter into the competitive situation again in the future." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). The "test under

section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder's [or competitor's information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage." *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831, 841 (Tex. 2015). After review of the information at issue and consideration of your arguments, we find you have established the release of the information at issue would harm the city's interests by providing an advantage to a competitor or bidder in a particular ongoing competitive situation. Accordingly, we conclude the city may withhold the submitted information under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Tim Neal
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TN/mo

Ref: ID# 926569

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Third Parties
(w/o enclosures)

¹ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.