



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 17, 2020

Ms. Lecelle Clarke
Counsel to Ranch at Cypress Creek Municipal Utility District No. 1
McGinnis Lochridge, LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2100
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2020-31709

Dear Ms. Clarke:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 858384.

The Ranch at Cypress Creek Municipal Utility District No. 1 (the "district"), which you represent, received two requests from different requestors for e-mails sent between named individuals during specified date ranges.¹ You state the district will release some information to the requestors. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code and privileged under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence.² We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov't Code § 552.107(1). When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue.

¹ We note, and you acknowledge, the district did not comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code with respect to the first request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b); *see also id.* §§ 552.263(a) (providing conditions when required deposit is appropriate), .2615(g) (time deadlines not affected by provision of cost estimate). Nonetheless, because the attorney-client privilege can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider its applicability to the information at issue. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .302, .352.

² Although the district also raises section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the attorney-client privilege in Texas Rule of Evidence 503, this office has concluded section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2, 575 at 2 (1990).

Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate that the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in a capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.*, meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

The district states the submitted information consists of communications involving attorneys for the district, district employees and officials, and another privileged party in their capacities as clients. The district states these communications were made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the district. The district states these communications were intended to be, and have remained, confidential. Based on these representations and our review, we find the district has demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information at issue. Accordingly, the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open->

³ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

[government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued](#) or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Katie Stallcup
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AKS/be

Ref: ID# 858384

c: 2 Requestors