



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 15, 2020

Ms. Sarah Parker  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
125 East 11th Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2020-31297

Dear Ms. Parker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 858216.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for seven categories of information pertaining to solicitation# 60144000024544. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Deloitte Consulting, LLP ("Deloitte") and Sense Corp ("Sense"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified these third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Deloitte. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Sense explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Sense has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted

information. *See, e.g., id.* § 552.110 (requiring the provision of specific factual evidence demonstrating the applicability of the exception). Accordingly, the department may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Sense may have in the information.

We note Deloitte argues against disclosure of information not submitted to this office for review. This ruling does not address information beyond what the department has submitted to us for our review. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body requesting decision from attorney general must submit a copy of specific information requested). Accordingly, this ruling is limited to the information the department submitted as responsive to the request for information.

Section 552.1101 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part:

- (a) Except as provided by Section 552.0222, information submitted to a governmental body by a vendor, contractor, potential vendor, or potential contractor in response to a request for a bid, proposal, or qualification is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the vendor, contractor, potential vendor, or potential contractor that the information relates to demonstrates based on specific factual evidence that disclosure of the information would:

- (1) reveal an individual approach to:

- (A) work;

- (B) organizational structure;

- (C) staffing;

- (D) internal operations;

- (E) processes; or

- (F) discounts, pricing methodology, pricing per kilowatt hour, cost data, or other pricing information that will be used in future solicitation or bid documents; and

- (2) give advantage to a competitor.

- (b) The exception to disclosure provided by Subsection (a) does not apply to:

- (1) information in a voucher or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public funds by a governmental body; or

(2) communications and other information sent between a governmental body and a vendor or contractor related to the performance of a final contract with the governmental body or work performed on behalf of the governmental body.

*Id.* § 552.1101(a), (b). Additionally, we note section 552.0222(b) lists certain types of information to which section 552.1101(a) does not apply. *See id.* § 552.0222(b). Deloitte asserts disclosure of some of its information would reveal an individual approach to work, organizational structure, staffing, internal operations, processes, and pricing methodology and give advantage to a competitor. Upon review, we find Deloitte has demonstrated the applicability of section 552.1101(a) to some of the information at issue. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1101(a); however, to the extent the customer information we marked is publicly available on Deloitte's website, it may not be withheld under section 552.1101. However, we find some of the remaining information at issue is subject to section 552.0222(b) and may not be withheld on the basis of section 552.1101(a). *See id.* § 552.0222(b) (listing certain types of information not excepted under section 552.1101). Additionally, we find Deloitte has failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating any portion of the rest of the remaining information at issue is subject to section 552.1101(a). Therefore, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.1101(a).

Deloitte raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for some of its information at issue. Section 552.110(b) states, “[e]xcept as provided by [s]ection 552.0222, information is [excepted from required disclosure] if it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that the information is a trade secret.” *See id.* § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(a) defines a trade secret as all forms and types of information if:

(1) the owner of the trade secret has taken reasonable measures under the circumstances to keep the information secret; and

(2) the information derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by, another person who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information.

*Id.* § 552.110(a). Section 552.110(c) of the Government Code states:

Except as provided by Section 552.0222, commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained is [excepted from required disclosure].

*Id.* § 552.110(c). Additionally, we note section 552.0222(b) lists certain types of information to which section 552.110 does not apply. *See id.* § 552.0222(b). Upon review,

we find some of the remaining information at issue is subject to section 552.0222(b) and may not be withheld on the basis of section 552.110 of the Government Code. Additionally, we find Deloitte has failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating any portion of the rest of the remaining information at issue constitutes commercial or financial information, the release of which would result in substantial competitive harm. Further, we find Deloitte has failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating any portion of the rest of the remaining information at issue is a trade secret. Therefore, the department may not withhold any portion of the remaining information at issue under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1101(a) of the Government Code; however, to the extent the customer information we marked is publicly available on Deloitte's website, it may not be withheld under section 552.1101. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kelly McWethy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KM/jm

Ref: ID# 858216

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

2 Third Parties  
(w/o enclosures)