



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 30, 2020

Ms. Ashlianne Burns
Associate General Counsel
Texas Southern University
Hannah Hall, 340
3100 Cleburne Street
Houston, Texas 77004

OR2020-29627

Dear Ms. Burns:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 854524.

Texas Southern University (the "university") received a request for four categories of information pertaining to a specified request for proposals. We understand the university has released some information to the requestor. The university claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Additionally, the university states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Capture-It Unlimited, Inc.; Crabtree Interpreting Services; Natural Languages; and Sign Shares, Inc.¹ Accordingly, the university states it notified each third party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception the university claims and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

¹ The university indicates the requestor's proposal is included in the information at issue. As we assume the requestor does not seek access to the requestor's own proposal, we do not address the public availability of that information.

² We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any third party explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any third party has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information, and the university may not withhold any portion of it on that basis. *See, e.g., id.* § 552.110 (requiring the provision of specific factual evidence demonstrating the applicability of the exception).

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that a governmental body demonstrates, if released, would "harm its interests by providing an advantage to a competitor or bidder in a particular ongoing competitive situation or in a particular competitive situation where the governmental body establishes the situation at issue is set to reoccur or there is a specific and demonstrable intent to enter into the competitive situation again in the future." *Id.* § 552.104(a). The "test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder's [or competitor's information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage." *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831, 841 (Tex. 2015). After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find the university has failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.104 to the information at issue. Thus, we conclude the university may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code. Accordingly, the university must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Gerald A. Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/mo

Ref: ID# 854524

c: Requestor