



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 12, 2020

Ms. Sandy Slade  
Public Information Officer  
Leander Independent School District  
P.O. Box 218  
Leander, Texas 78646

OR2020-28446

Dear Ms. Slade:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 852449 (Request # 2853).

The Leander Independent School District (the "district") received a request for winning responses to a specified request for proposals.<sup>1</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.1101 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of the following third parties: Alboum Translation Services ("Alboum"); Communication by Hand; Global Link Language Services; Inlingua Language Services; and LanguageUSA. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the district notified these interested third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Alboum and LanguageUSA. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup> You state the district sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See id.* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from the remaining third parties explaining why the information at issue should not be released. Thus, we have no basis to conclude the remaining third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See, e.g., id.* § 552.110 (requiring the provision of specific factual evidence demonstrating the applicability of the exception). Therefore, the district may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the remaining third parties may have in the information.

LanguageUSA raises section 552.104 of the Government Code for a portion of its information. Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure information “if a governmental body demonstrates that release of the information would harm its interests by providing an advantage to a competitor or bidder in a particular ongoing competitive situation or in a particular competitive situation where the governmental body establishes the situation at issue is set to reoccur or there is a specific and demonstrable intent to enter into the competitive situation again in the future.” *Id.* § 552.104(a) (emphasis added). In *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015), the Texas Supreme Court held section 552.104 does not preclude third parties from raising section 552.104 as an exception to disclosure. *See Boeing*, 466 S.W.3d at 842. However, the Eighty-sixth Legislature has amended section 552.104 since the issuance of *Boeing*. *See* Act of May 25, 2019, 86th Leg., R.S., S.B. 943, § 3. Section 552.104 now expressly limits the protections of section 552.104 to governmental bodies. Gov't Code 552.104(a). Therefore, we do not address LanguageUSA's arguments under section 552.104.

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code states, “[e]xcept as provided by [s]ection 552.0222, information is [excepted from required disclosure] if it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that the information is a trade secret.” *Id.* § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(a) defines a trade secret as all forms and types of information if:

- (1) the owner of the trade secret has taken reasonable measures under the circumstances to keep the information secret; and
- (2) the information derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by, another person who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information.

*Id.* § 552.110(a). Section 552.110(c) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” *Id.* § 552.110(c).

Albourn and LanguageUSA argue some of their information is subject to section 552.110 of the Government Code. Upon review, we find Albourn has demonstrated portions of its information at issue constitute commercial or financial information, the release of which

would cause substantial competitive harm. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.110(c) of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> However, we find Alboum and LanguageUSA have failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating any portion of their remaining information at issue constitutes commercial or financial information, the release of which would result in substantial competitive harm, or is a trade secret. Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

Section 552.1101 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part:

(a) Except as provided by Section 552.0222, information submitted to a governmental body by a vendor, contractor, potential vendor, or potential contractor in response to a request for a bid, proposal, or qualification is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the vendor, contractor, potential vendor, or potential contractor that the information relates to demonstrates based on specific factual evidence that disclosure of the information would:

(1) reveal an individual approach to:

(A) work;

(B) organizational structure;

(C) staffing;

(D) internal operations;

(E) processes; or

(F) discounts, pricing methodology, pricing per kilowatt hour, cost data, or other pricing information that will be used in future solicitation or bid documents; and

(2) give advantage to a competitor.

*Id.* § 552.1101(a). You claim the remaining information is subject to section 552.1101 of the Government Code. However, section 552.1101 protects only the interests of a vendor, contractor, potential vendor, or potential contractor that has provided information to a governmental body, not those of the governmental body itself. *See id.* § 552.1101(c). Therefore, we do not consider your argument under section 552.1101. Alboum also asserts disclosure of some of its remaining information would reveal an individual approach to its processes and pricing methodology, and give advantage to a competitor. Upon review, however, we find Alboum has failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating any

---

<sup>2</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

portion of its remaining information at issue is subject to section 552.1101(a). Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.1101(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”<sup>3</sup> *Id.* § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). Accordingly, the district must withhold the bank account and routing numbers we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.110(c) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the bank account and routing numbers we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Deborah Southerland  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DS/be

Ref: ID# 852449

c: Requestor

5 Third Parties

---

<sup>3</sup> The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).