



KEN PAXTON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

October 30, 2020

Ms. Ashley K. Addo  
Counsel for Texas City Independent School District  
Thompson & Horton, L.L.P.  
3200 Southwest Freeway, Suite 2000  
Houston, Texas 77027-7554

OR2020-27270

Dear Ms. Addo:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 851315.

The Texas City Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a specified pricing tabulation. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. In addition, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of the following third parties: Baker Distributing; Clampitt Paper Co.; Compass Trading, L.L.C.; Gateway Printing and Office Supply; Liberty Office Products; Office Depot; Ovol; Vertiv Operating Company; and Wholesale & MFR PVF. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation demonstrating, you notified these third parties of the request for information and of the right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the notified third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Thus, we have no basis to conclude any notified third party has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See, e.g., id.* § 552.110 (requiring the

provision of specific factual evidence demonstrating the applicability of the exception). Accordingly, the district may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest a third party may have in the information.

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that a governmental body demonstrates, if released, would “harm its interests by providing an advantage to a competitor or bidder in a particular ongoing competitive situation or in a particular competitive situation where the governmental body establishes the situation at issue is set to reoccur or there is a specific and demonstrable intent to enter into the competitive situation again in the future.” *Id.* § 552.104(a). The “test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder’s [or competitor’s information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage.” *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831, 841 (Tex. 2015). After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find the district has failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.104 to the information at issue. Thus, we conclude the district may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.104(a). As no further exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the district must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kimbell Kesling  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KK/jm

Ref: ID# 851315

c: Requestor

9 Third Parties