



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

October 29, 2020

Ms. June B. Harden
Assistant Attorney General
Assistant Public Information Coordinator
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

OR2020-27223

Dear Ms. Harden:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 851446 (PIR# R005262).

The Office of the Attorney General (the "OAG") received a request for information pertaining to a specified criminal matter and a specified lawsuit. The OAG states it does not possess information pertaining to the specified criminal matter.¹ The OAG states it will release some of the requested information with redactions allowed by law. The OAG claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.134 of the Government Code and rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

¹ The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when it received a request, create responsive information, or obtain information that is not held by the governmental body or on its behalf. *See Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 555 at 1 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

² We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Initially, the OAG acknowledges Exhibit B is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The OAG must release this information pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* The OAG raises Texas Rule of Evidence 503 for this information. The Texas Supreme Court has held the Texas Rules of Evidence are “other law” that make information expressly confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Therefore, we will consider the OAG’s assertion of the attorney-client privilege under Texas Rule of Evidence 503 for Exhibit B. We will also consider the OAG’s argument under section 552.134 for Exhibit C, which is not subject to section 552.022.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides the following:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

(A) between the client or the client’s representative and the client’s lawyer or the lawyer’s representative;

(B) between the client’s lawyer and the lawyer’s representative;

(C) by the client, the client’s representative, the client’s lawyer, or the lawyer’s representative to a lawyer representing another party in a pending action or that lawyer’s representative, if the communications concern a matter of common interest in the pending action;

(D) between the client’s representatives or between the client and the client’s representative; or

(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of

professional legal services to the client or reasonably necessary to transmit the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must 1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; 2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and 3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 (2002). Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the entire communication is confidential under rule 503 provided the client has not waived the privilege or the communication does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein); *In re Valero Energy Corp.*, 973 S.W.2d 453, 457 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1998, orig. proceeding) (privilege attaches to complete communication, including factual information).

The OAG states Exhibit B consists of communications between and among attorneys and staff in the OAG's Law Enforcement Defense Division and representatives of the OAG's client agency, the Texas Department of Criminal Justice ("TDCJ"). The OAG also states the communications were made for the purpose of providing legal services to TDCJ. Further, the OAG informs us the communications were not intended to be disclosed and have not been disclosed to non-privileged parties. Upon review, we find the OAG has demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information at issue. Thus, the OAG may withhold Exhibit B under rule 503.

Section 552.134(a) of the Government Code relates to inmates of TDCJ and provides the following:

Except as provided by Subsection (b) or by Section 552.029 [of the Government Code], information obtained or maintained by [TDCJ] is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information about an inmate who is confined in a facility operated by or under a contract with the department.

Gov't Code § 552.134(a). We note section 552.134 applies only to "information obtained or maintained by" TDCJ. *See id.* Section 552.134(d) states the release of information subject to section 552.134(a) to an eligible entity, as defined by section 508.313(d) of the Government Code, for a purpose related to law enforcement, prosecution, corrections, clemency, or treatment is not considered a release of information to the public and does not waive the protection of section 552.134 or other law. Additionally, we have previously held TDCJ has the discretion to transfer to another governmental body information subject to the statutory predecessor to section 552.134, and the transferred information remains confidential in the hands of the receiving governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 667 (2000) (TDCJ has discretion to release inmate's social security number made confidential by statutory predecessor to section 552.134 to voter registrar for purpose of maintaining accurate voter registration lists and transferred social security number remains

confidential in possession of the voter registrar). The OAG contends Exhibit C falls within the scope of section 552.134. The information at issue consists of records TDCJ maintains regarding an inmate, copies of which we understand were provided to the OAG as TDCJ's counsel. Thus, the OAG must withhold Exhibit C under section 552.134.

In summary, the OAG may withhold Exhibit B under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. The OAG must withhold Exhibit C under section 552.134 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Matthew Taylor
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MT/gw

Ref: ID# 851446

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)