



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

October 2, 2020

Mr. L. Brian Narvaez
Counsel for the Town of Prosper
Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P.
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2020-24931

Dear Mr. Narvaez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 847742 (ID No 2020-540).

The Prosper Police Department (the "department") received a request for fifteen categories of information pertaining to a specified incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note you have not submitted information responsive to all of the categories of information. To the extent any information responsive to the remaining categories of the request existed on the date the department received the request, we assume the department has released it. If the department has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See Gov't Code* §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. *Gov't Code* § 552.130(a). Upon review, we agree some of the submitted information contains motor vehicle record information subject to section 552.130. You state the department does not have the technological capability to redact the motor vehicle record information from the video recordings at issue. Accordingly, the department must withhold the motor vehicle record information you marked and indicated

and the submitted video recordings in their entirety under section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ See Open Records Decision No. 364 (1983).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public under [the Act], and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a); see also *id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). Upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate any portion of the remaining information at issue involves a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect of a child made under chapter 261 of the Family Code, or that this information was used or developed in an investigation under chapter 261. Accordingly, we conclude the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government on this basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Upon review, we find the department has failed to demonstrate the remaining information at issue is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Thus, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

¹ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information, including the applicability of section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code. See generally Occ. Code § 1701.661(a), (e).

In summary, the department must withhold the motor vehicle record information you marked and indicated and the submitted video recordings in their entirety under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kimbell Kesling
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KK/rm

Ref: ID# 847742

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)