



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 16, 2020

Mr. Matthew M. Coleman
Counsel for Rocksprings Independent School District
Eichelbaum Wardell Hansen Powell & Muñoz, P.C.
4201 West Parmer Lane, Suite A-100
Austin, Texas 78727

OR2020-23365

Dear Mr. Coleman:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 843859.

Rocksprings Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to two named individuals. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.107, 552.117, 552.130, 552.137, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.102(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "a transcript from an institution of higher education maintained in the personnel file of a professional public school employee[.]" Gov't Code § 552.102(b). This exception further provides, however, "the degree obtained or the curriculum on a transcript in the personnel file of the employee" are not excepted from disclosure. *Id.* Upon review, we find the district must withhold the educational transcripts you marked under section 552.102(b) of the Government Code, except for the information that reveals the employee's name, the degree obtained, and the courses taken. *See* Open Records Decision No. 526 (1989) (addressing statutory predecessor).

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes

or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a confidential communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state the information you marked consists of communications between attorneys for the district and employees that were made for the purpose of providing legal services to the university. You state the communications were intended to be confidential and have remained confidential. Based on your representations and our review, we find the information you marked consists of privileged attorney-client communications. Therefore, the district may withhold the information you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code provides, “[t]he social security number of an employee of a school district in the custody of the district is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.147(a-1). Upon review, we find the district must withhold the social security numbers of the district employees you marked under section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code.¹

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the

¹ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

Government Code, except as provided by section 552.024(a-1). *See id.* §§ 552.117(a)(1), .024. Section 552.024(a-1) of the Government Code provides, “A school district may not require an employee or former employee of the district to choose whether to allow public access to the employee’s or former employee’s social security number.” *Id.* § 552.024(a-1). Thus, the district may only withhold under section 552.117 the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of the district who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. We note a post office box number is not a “home address” for purposes of section 552.117(a). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of Gov’t Code § 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Therefore, with the exception of the post office box numbers you marked, to the extent the employees at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information you marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Conversely, to the extent the employees at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the district may not withhold the information under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. However, we find the district has failed to demonstrate the post office box numbers you marked are subject to section 552.117. Therefore, the district may not withhold the post office box number under section 552.117 of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that relates to a motor vehicle operator’s license, driver’s license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by this state or another state or country. Gov’t Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Accordingly, the district must withhold the motor vehicle record information you marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). Accordingly, the district must withhold the personal e-mail addresses, which you marked, under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the individuals to whom the e-mail addresses belongs affirmatively consent to their release. *See id.* § 552.137(b).

In summary, the district must withhold the educational transcripts you marked under section 552.102(b) of the Government Code, except for the information that reveals the employee’s name, the degree obtained, and the courses taken. The district may withhold the information you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the social security numbers of the district employees you marked under

section 552.147(a-1) of the Government Code. With the exception of the post office box numbers you marked, to the extent the employees at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information you marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the motor vehicle record information you marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the personal e-mail addresses, which you marked, under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the individuals to whom the e-mail addresses belongs affirmatively consent to their release. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Ashley Crutchfield
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AC/jm

Ref: ID# 843859

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)