



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 15, 2020

Mr. Wes Mau
Criminal District Attorney
Hays County
712 South Stagecoach Trail, Suite 2057
San Marcos, Texas 78666

OR2020-23346

Dear Mr. Mau:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 845961 (ORR# 20-0516).

The Hays County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for case number HCSO-192345. The district attorney's office claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions the district attorney's office claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). The district attorney's office states the information at issue pertains to an ongoing criminal investigation and criminal prosecution being conducted by the district attorney's office. Based on this representation and our review, we conclude the release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the submitted information.

However, we note section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers

to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. See 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; see also Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Accordingly, with the exception of the basic information, the district attorney's office may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.¹

We understand the district attorney's office to assert the basic information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with article 20.02(a) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses article 20.02(a) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which provides "[t]he proceedings of the grand jury shall be secret." Crim. Proc. Code art. 20.02(a). Article 20.02, however, does not define "proceedings" for purposes of subsection (a). The Fourth Court of Appeals in *In re Reed* addressed the issue of what constitutes "proceedings" for purposes of article 20.02(a) and stated the term "proceedings" could "reasonably be understood as encompassing matters that take place before the grand jury, such as witness testimony and deliberations." See *In re Reed*, 227 S.W.3d 273, 276 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2007, orig. proceeding). Upon review, we find the district attorney's office has not demonstrated the basic information reveals grand jury testimony or deliberations of the grand jury. Therefore, we conclude the district attorney's office may not withhold any portion of the basic information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with article 20.02 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

We also understand the district attorney's office to assert the basic information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." See Gov't Code § 552.111. This section encompasses the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 360 (Tex. 2000); Open Records Decision No. 677 at 4-8 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines work product as:

- (1) material prepared or mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for a party or a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees, or agents; or
- (2) a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial between a party and the party's representatives or among a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees or agents.

Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.5. A governmental body seeking to withhold information under this exception bears the burden of demonstrating that the information was created or developed

¹ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

for trial or in anticipation of litigation by or for a party or a party's representative. *Id.*; ORD 677 at 6-8. In order for this office to conclude that the information was made or developed in anticipation of litigation, we must be satisfied that:

- (a) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue; and
- b) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and [created or obtained the information] for the purpose of preparing for such litigation.

Nat'l Tank Co. v. Brotherton, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204; ORD 677 at 7.

The district attorney's office generally argues section 552.111 of the Government Code is applicable to the basic information. Upon review, we find the district attorney's office has failed to establish the basic information consists of material prepared, mental impressions developed, or a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for the district attorney's office or representatives of the district attorney's office. Therefore, the district attorney's office may not withhold any portion of the basic information as attorney work product under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, with the exception of the basic information, which must be released, the district attorney's office may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/gw

Mr. Wes Mau - Page 4

Ref: ID# 845961

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)