



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 3, 2020

Ms. Cary Grace
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin Law Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-1088

OR2020-22298

Dear Ms. Grace:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 845003 (ORR# R040748).

The Austin Police Department (the "department") received a request for the names of all "For Official Use Only" ("FOUO") Threat Liaison Officers who assist the Austin Regional Intelligence Center (the "ARIC") and the organization each officer represents. The department claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the department claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note you have submitted information beyond the officer names and organizations requested. This additional information is not responsive to the instant request for information. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request and the department is not required to release such information in response to this request.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution . . . if . . . release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State." *City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). To prevail on its claim that section 552.108(b)(1) excepts information from disclosure, a governmental body must do more than merely make

a conclusory assertion that releasing the information would interfere with law enforcement. Instead, the governmental body must meet its burden of explaining how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. *See Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990) (construing statutory predecessor)*. This office has concluded section 552.108(b) excepts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 of the Government Code is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted)*. Section 552.108(b)(1) is not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g., ORDs 531 at 2-3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known)*.

The department explains the ARIC is a collaborative effort of several local law enforcement agencies, with the mission of maximizing “the ability to detect, prevent, apprehend, and respond to criminal and terrorist activity.” The department informs us FOUO officers are trained to recognize and report suspicious activity and assist the ARIC in its mission by gathering information to identify potential pre-planning or pre-operational criminal or terrorist acts. The department also states release of the information would harm the program’s mission by deterring individuals and entities from joining the program and could result in officers being “doxed” or threatened. Based on these representations and our review, we find the department has demonstrated the release of the information at issue would interfere with law enforcement. Therefore, the department may withhold the responsive information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Michelle Garza
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MRG/be

Ms. Cary Grace - Page 3

Ref: ID# 845003

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)