



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 29, 2020

Ms. Elizabeth G. Neally  
Counsel for the Schertz-Cibolo-Universal City Independent School District  
Walsh Gallegos Treviño Russo & Kyle P.C.  
P.O. Box 460606  
San Antonio, Texas 78246

OR2020-18974

Dear Ms. Neally:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 837662.

The Schertz-Cibolo-Universal City Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received two requests from the same requestor for information pertaining to a specified incident and the requestor's client. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.116 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit written comments regarding why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure

under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

*Id.* § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show section 552.103(a) is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, *writ ref'd n.r.e.*); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). *See* ORD 551.

This office has long held “litigation,” for purposes of section 552.103, includes “contested cases” conducted in a quasi-judicial forum. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 368 (1983), 336 (1982), 301 (1982). In determining whether an administrative proceeding is conducted in a quasi-judicial forum, some of the factors this office considers are whether the administrative proceeding provides for discovery, evidence to be heard, factual questions to be resolved, the making of a record, and whether the proceeding is an adjudicative forum of first jurisdiction with appellate review of the resulting decision without a re-adjudication of fact questions. *See* Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991).

You state, and submit documentation showing, on the same day the district received the instant requests, the requestor filed a grievance pertaining to the reprimand received by his client for the incident at issue. You also state the filed grievance initiates the district’s administrative hearing process. We note the administrative hearing process provides for the making of a record for the board’s consideration, and, at the hearing, an employee is allowed to be represented by counsel and present evidence. We further note an employee may appeal the board’s decision to the Texas Commissioner of Education. You explain an employee must exhaust all remedies provided by the district for resolving complaints before the employee may file suit in court. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the district’s administrative hearing process is conducted in a quasi-judicial forum and, thus, constitutes litigation for purposes of section 552.103. You explain the requested information relates to the pending litigation because it relates to the incident at issue in the reprimand and resulting grievance. Based on your representations, the submitted documentation, and our review of the submitted information, we find litigation was pending when the district received the requests for information and the submitted information is related to the pending litigation for the purposes of section 552.103. Therefore, the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that

---

<sup>1</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure.

has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing parties in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Emily Kunst  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

EK/mo

Ref: ID# 837662

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)