



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 14, 2020

Ms. Cynthia Tynan
Assistant General Counsel & Public Information Coordinator
The University of Texas System
210 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2020-17398

Dear Ms. Tynan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 835472 (OGC# 197013).

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (the "university") received a request for certain information pertaining to a specified request for proposals. You state the university released some information to the requestor. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. In addition, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Iron Mountain Information Management, LLC ("Iron Mountain"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Iron Mountain of the request for information and of the right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Iron Mountain. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]" Gov't Code § 552.111. This section encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San*

Antonio, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990).

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. See ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body's policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such information will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; see also *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body's policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body's policy mission. See Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995). Further, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); see ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendations as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. See Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

You state the information you indicated consists of communications between university employees pertaining to the evaluation and scoring of the submitted bid proposals. You explain this information is reflective of the deliberative and policymaking processes by which the university ranks the bid proposals at issue. Thus, you state the information at issue consists of advice, opinions, and recommendations of the university pertaining to its policymaking functions. Based upon these representations and our review of the information at issue, we find you have demonstrated the information at issue consists of advice, opinions, or recommendations on the policymaking matters of the university. Thus, the university may withhold the information you indicated under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

Next, we note section 2261.253 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) For each contract for the purchase of goods or services from a private vendor, each state agency shall post on its Internet website:

(1) each contract the agency enters into, including contracts entered into without inviting, advertising for, or otherwise requiring competitive bidding before selection of the contractor, until the contract expires or is completed[.]

...

(e) A state agency that posts a contract on its Internet website as required under this section shall redact from the posted contract

(1) information that is confidential under law; [and]

(2) information the attorney general determines is excepted from public disclosure under [the Act].

...

(f) The redaction of information under Subsection (e) does not exempt the information from the requirements of Section 552.021 or 552.221.

Gov't Code § 2261.253(a)(1), (e)(1)-(2), (f). The contract at issue is between the university, a state agency, and Iron Mountain, a private vendor, for the purchase of goods or services. Further, the contract has neither expired nor been completed. Iron Mountain raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for the contract at issue; however, the exceptions to disclosure found in the Act do not generally apply to information that other statutes make public. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989). The 85th Legislature amended section 2261.253; pursuant to the amendments, state agencies shall redact from contracts subject to section 2261.253 information that is confidential under law or information the attorney general determines is excepted from public disclosure under the Act. Gov't Code § 2261.253(e)(1)-(2); *see also id.* § 2261.253(f). We note the amendments “apply only in relation to a contract for which a state agency first advertises or otherwise solicits bids, proposals, offers, or qualifications on or after [September 1, 2017].” Act of May 29, 2017, 85th Leg., R.S., ch. 556, § 17(c), 2017 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 1535, 1540. Upon review, we find the contract at issue is subject to the amendments. Therefore, pursuant to subsection 2261.253(e)(2), we will address the applicability of sections 552.110 and 552.136 to the information at issue.¹

Iron Mountain raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for portions of the remaining information. Section 552.0222 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(b) The exceptions to disclosure provided by Sections 552.110 and 552.1101 do not apply to the following types of contracting information:

(1) a contract described by Section 2261.253(a), excluding any information that was properly redacted under Subsection (e) of that section[.]

Gov't Code § 552.0222(b)(1). As noted above, the remaining information is a contract described by section 2261.253(a) of the Government Code. Additionally, we have no indication any portion of the remaining information was properly redacted under section

¹ The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

2261.253(e). Although Iron Mountain raises section 552.110, section 552.0222 expressly states this exception does not apply to a contract described by section 2261.253(a). Accordingly, we do not address Iron Mountain's arguments against disclosure under this exception.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." *Id.* § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See* Open Records Decision No. 684 at 9 (2009). Accordingly, the university must withhold all insurance policy numbers in the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the university may withhold the information you indicated under section 552.111 of the Government Code. The university must withhold all insurance policy numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The university must release the remaining information; however, any information that is subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Kimbell Kesling
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KK/rm

Ref: ID# 835472

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party
(w/o enclosures)