



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 10, 2020

Ms. Tatiana T. Dennis
Counsel for Ector County Independent School District
Atkins, Hollman, Jones, Peacock, Lewis & Lyon, P.C.
3800 East 42nd Street, Suite 500
Odessa, Texas 79762

OR2020-17210

Dear Ms. Dennis:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 835426.

The Ector County Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident and a named individual.¹ You state the district released some of the requested information with redactions made pursuant section 552.117 of the Government Code as permitted by section 552.024(c) of the Government Code.² You claim the submitted information is excepted

¹ The district states it sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

² Section 552.117 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, emergency contact information, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). Section 552.024 of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to withhold information subject to section 552.117 without requesting a decision from this office if the current or former employee or official chooses not to allow public access to the information. *See id.* § 552.024(c).

from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, and 552.135 of the Government Code.³ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note in a letter dated May 6, 2020, you state the district wishes to withdraw its request for an open records decision with respect to a portion of the requested information because the requestor withdrew this portion of his request. This ruling does not address the public availability of non-responsive information, and the district is not required to release such information in response to the instant request.

Next, we must address the district's obligations under the Act. Section 552.301 of the Government Code describes the procedural obligations placed on a governmental body that receives a written request for information it wishes to withhold. Pursuant to section 552.301(e) of the Government Code, the governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving the request (1) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(e). In this instance, you state the district received the request for information on April 22, 2020. Accordingly, the fifteen-business-day deadline was May 13, 2020. We note the envelope in which the district originally submitted the information required by section 552.301(e) was returned to the district for insufficient postage and the city again mailed the required information to this office in an envelope bearing a postmark of May 21, 2020. Section 552.308 of the Government Code provides, when a submission within a specified time period is required under the Act, the time requirement is met if the submission is sent by first class mail "with postage . . . prepaid" and the postmark date is within the required time period. *See id.* § 552.308. Because the district did not submit the information required by section 552.301(e) within the required time period, we find the district failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless there is a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). Although the district claims section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the informer's privilege for some of the submitted information, we find you failed to establish a compelling reason to address this argument. However, because sections 552.102 and 552.135 of the Government Code can provide compelling reasons to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider their applicability to the submitted information. Additionally, because sections 552.117 and 552.137 of the Government Code can provide

³ Although you also raise section 552.305 of the Government Code, we note section 552.305 is not an exception to disclosure under the Act. Rather, section 552.305 provides the procedural requirements for notifying third parties that their interests may be affected by a request for information. *See id.* § 552.305.

compelling reasons to overcome the presumption of openness, we will address the applicability of these sections to the information at issue.⁴

Section 552.102(b) of the Government Code exempts from public disclosure “a transcript from an institution of higher education maintained in the personnel file of a professional public school employee[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.102(b). This exception further provides, however, that “the degree obtained or the curriculum on a transcript in the personnel file of the employee” are not excepted from disclosure. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 526 (1989). Thus, with the exception of the employee’s name, courses taken, and degrees obtained, the district must withhold the submitted college transcript pursuant to section 552.102(b) of the Government Code.

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) “Informer” means a student or a former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person’s or persons’ possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.

(b) An informer’s name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].

(c) Subsection (b) does not apply:

(1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student’s or former student’s name; or

(2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee’s or former employee’s name; or

(3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.

Gov’t Code § 552.135(a)-(c). Because the legislature limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of “law,” a school district that seeks to withhold information under that exception must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). You state a portion of the submitted information identifies a district employee who reported possible violations of civil and regulatory laws. Upon review, we find the district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.135

⁴ The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

of the Government Code.⁵ However, you have not demonstrated any of the remaining information reveals the identity of an informer for the purposes of section 552.135 of the Government Code. Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information on that ground.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code, except as provided by section 552.024(a-1). *See id.* §§ 552.117(a)(1), .024. Section 552.024(a-1) of the Government Code provides, “A school district may not require an employee or former employee of the district to choose whether to allow public access to the employee’s or former employee’s social security number.” *Id.* § 552.024(a-1). Thus, the district may only withhold under section 552.117 the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of the district who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. Therefore, to the extent the individual whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Conversely, to the extent the individual at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the district may not withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1).

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov’t Code § 552.137(a)(c). The e-mail address at issue is not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the district must withhold the personal e-mail address we marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner affirmatively consents to its public disclosure.

In summary, with the exception of the employee’s name, courses taken, and degrees obtained, the district must withhold the submitted college transcript pursuant to section 552.102(b) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.135 of the Government Code. To the extent the individual whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The district must withhold the personal e-mail address we marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner

⁵ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

affirmatively consents to its public disclosure. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Katie Stallcup
Attorney
Open Records Division

AKS/be

Ref: ID# 835426

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)