



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 8, 2020

Mr. Thomas Royce Hansen
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
200 Texas Street, 3rd Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6311

OR2020-17047

Dear Mr. Hansen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 834882 (ORR# W100961).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for photographs and recordings pertaining to a specified incident. The city claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. The city also states, and provides documentation showing, it notified the family of the deceased individual whose information is at issue of the city's receipt of the request for information and of the family's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released). We have considered the claimed exception and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of constitutional privacy. This section encompasses the doctrine of constitutional privacy, which consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. Open Records Decision No. 455 at 4 (1987). The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy," which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common-law doctrine of privacy; the information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)). We note the right to privacy is a personal right that lapses at death and therefore may not be asserted solely

on behalf of a deceased individual. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 272 (1981) (privacy rights lapse upon death). However, the United States Supreme Court has determined surviving family members can have a privacy interest in information relating to their deceased relatives. *See Nat'l Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish*, 541 U.S. 157 (2004).

Because the information at issue relates to a deceased individual, the city may not withhold it to protect that individual's privacy interest. However, the city states it has notified the deceased individual's family members of the request for information and of their right to assert a privacy interest in the information at issue. As of the date of this decision, we have not received correspondence from the deceased individual's family requesting the information at issue be withheld. Thus, we have no basis for determining the family has a privacy interest in the information at issue. Therefore, the city may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with constitutional privacy. Accordingly, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/rm

Ref: ID# 834882

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)