



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 19, 2020

Mr. Robert Carroll
Senior Assistant City Attorney
City of Odessa
P.O. Box 4398
Odessa, Texas 79760-4398

OR2020-14004

Dear Mr. Carroll:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 829486 (ID# P002649-030520).

The City of Odessa (the "city") received a request for reports involving a named police officer during a specified time period.¹ You state the city has released some information. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. Additionally, we understand release of the submitted information may implicate the interests of the Ector County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office"). Accordingly, you provide documentation showing the city notified the district attorney's office of the request for information pursuant to section 552.304 of the Government Code.² *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be

¹ You state the city sent the requestor a cost estimate of charges pursuant to section 552.2615 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.2615. The estimate of charges required the requestor to provide a deposit for payment of anticipated costs under section 552.263 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.263(a). You also state, in response to the cost estimate, the requestor narrowed the scope of the information requested. *See id.* § 552.222(b) (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

² As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from the district attorney's office.

released). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we understand you have redacted some information pursuant to sections 552.130(c), 552.136(c), and 552.147(b) of the Government Code, as well as public citizens' dates of birth pursuant to Open Records Letter No. 2019-35516 (2019) and e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code in accordance with Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).³ However, you have also redacted additional information. Pursuant to section 552.301 of the Government Code, a governmental body that seeks to withhold requested information must submit to this office a copy of the information, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the copy, unless the governmental body has received a previous determination for the information at issue. *See id.* § 552.301(a), (e)(1)(D). You do not assert, nor does our review of our records indicate, the city has been granted a previous determination to withhold such information without seeking a ruling from this office. *See id.* § 552.301(a); Open Records Decision No. 673 (2000). As such, this information must be submitted in a manner that enables this office to determine whether the information comes within the scope of an exception to disclosure. In this instance, we can discern the nature of the redacted information; thus, being deprived of this information does not inhibit our ability to make a ruling. In the future, however, the city should refrain from redacting any information that it is not authorized to withhold in seeking an open records ruling. Failure to do so may result in the presumption the redacted information is public. *See Gov't Code* § 552.302.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in part, as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

³ Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in section 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. *Gov't Code* § 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See id.* § 552.130(d), (e). Section 552.136(c) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact, without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office, the information described in section 552.136(b). *Id.* § 552.136(c); *see also id.* § 552.136(d)-(e) (requestor may appeal governmental body's decision to withhold information under section 552.136(c) to attorney general and governmental body withholding information pursuant to section 552.136(c) must provide certain notice to requestor). Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *Id.* § 552.147(b). Open Records Letter No. 2019-35516 authorizes the city to withhold public citizens' dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy without requesting a ruling from this office. Open Records Decision No. 684 serves as a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including personal e mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). Exhibits B and C were used or developed in investigations of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect conducted by the city's police department. *See id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining "child" for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining "abuse" and "neglect" for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). Accordingly, we find this information is subject to chapter 261 of the Family Code. You do not indicate the city has adopted a rule that governs the release of this type of information and therefore, we assume no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, we conclude the city must withhold Exhibits B and C under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.⁴ *See* Open Records Decision No. 440 at 2 (1986) (predecessor statute).

As noted above, we understand the city redacted motor vehicle record information pursuant to section 552.130(c) of the Government Code. However, we note some of the remaining information is subject to section 552.130. Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130(a). Upon review, we find the city must withhold the information you redacted and the additional motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must withhold Exhibits B and C under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code. The city must withhold the information you redacted and the additional motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open->

⁴ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of the information at issue.

[government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued](#) or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Deborah Southerland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DS/mo

Ref: ID# 829486

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestor
(w/o enclosures)