



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 15, 2020

Ms. Ileana Fernandez
Assistant City Attorney III
City of Plano
P.O. Box 860358
Plano, Texas 75086-0358

OR2020-10930

Dear Ms. Fernandez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 823698 (ORR# AS-R002531).

The City of Plano (the "city") received a request for information related to a specified incident.¹ The city claims some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the city claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses section 826.0211(a) of the Health and Safety Code, which reads as follows:

(a) Information contained in a rabies vaccination certificate or in any record compiled from the information contained in one or more certificates that identifies or tends to identify an owner or an address, telephone number, or other personally identifying information of an owner of a vaccinated animal is confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Act]. The information contained in the certificate or record may not include the social

¹ The city states it sought and received clarification of the information requested. See Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); see also *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

security number or the driver's license number of the owner of the vaccinated animal.

Health & Safety Code § 826.0211(a). We note section 826.0211 is applicable only to information contained in a rabies vaccination certificate or in a record compiled from information contained in one or more rabies vaccination certificates. Upon review, we find section 826.0211 is applicable to some of the submitted information, which we marked. Therefore, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 826.0211(a) of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by section 826.0311 of the Health and Safety Code, which states in relevant part:

(a) Information that is contained in a municipal or county registry of dogs and cats under Section 826.031 that identifies or tends to identify the owner or an address, telephone number, or other personally identifying information of the owner of the registered dog or cat is confidential and not subject to disclosure under Chapter 552, Government Code. The information contained in the registry may not include the social security number or the driver's license number of the owner of the registered animal.

(b) The information may be disclosed only to a governmental entity or a person that, under a contract with a governmental entity, provides animal control services or animal registration services for the governmental entity for purposes related to the protection of public health and safety. A governmental entity or person that receives the information must maintain the confidentiality of the information, may not disclose the information under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may not use the information for a purpose that does not directly relate to the protection of public health and safety.

Health & Safety Code § 826.0311(a), (b). Section 826.0311 applies only to the actual pet registry; it does not apply to the contents of other records, even though those documents may contain the same information as the pet registry. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998), 478 at 2 (1987).

The city claims some of the remaining information, which it marked, is confidential under section 826.0311 of the Health and Safety Code. However, the city does not inform us, and we are not able to determine, whether the information at issue was obtained directly from the city's pet registry. Accordingly, we must rule conditionally. It does not appear the exceptions found in section 826.0311(b) of the Health and Safety Code apply in this instance. Thus, to the extent the remaining information the city marked was obtained directly from the city's pet registry, the city must withhold the marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 826.0311(a) of the Health and Safety Code. However, to the extent the information the city marked was not obtained directly from the city's pet registry, the information is not confidential under

section 826.0311(a) of the Health and Safety Code and the city may not withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 826.0211(a) of the Health and Safety Code. To the extent the remaining information the city marked was obtained directly from the city's pet registry, the city must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 826.0311(a) of the Health and Safety Code. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/be

Ref: ID# 823698

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)