



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

March 6, 2020

Ms. Nicole Warren
Deputy City Attorney
City of Temple
2 North Main Street, Suite 308
Temple, Texas 76501

OR2020-07198

Dear Ms. Warren

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 815049.

The City of Temple (the "city") received a request for seventeen categories of information pertaining to a specified incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the city has only submitted information responsive to a portion of the of the request existed on the date the city received the request, we assume the city has released it. If the city has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Next, we note the submitted information includes police officers' body worn camera recordings. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661 provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not provide the requisite information under section 1701.661(a) for some of the submitted body worn camera recordings. As the body worn camera recordings at issue were not properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released.¹ However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by [s]ubsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b). We note the instant request includes the information required by section 1701.661(a) with respect to the remaining body worn camera recordings. *Id.* We note, however, section 1701.661(f) provides, in relevant part:

A law enforcement agency may not release any portion . . . of a recording involving the investigation of conduct that constitutes a misdemeanor punishable by fine only and does not result in arrest, without written authorization from the person who is the subject of that portion of the recording or, if the person is deceased, from the person’s authorized representative.

Id. § 1701.661(f). You state the remaining body worn camera recording pertains to the investigation of conduct that constitutes a misdemeanor punishable by fine only and which did not result in an arrest. You also state you have not received a written authorization for release from all of the subjects of the recording. *See id.* Accordingly, we find the city must withhold the remaining body worn camera recording under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code. However, we note the remaining video recordings consist of dash camera video recordings. This information does not consist of body worn camera recordings and is not subject to section 1701.661 of the Occupations Code and the city may not withhold any portion of the remaining video recordings under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code

¹ As we are able to make this determination, we need not address your arguments against disclosure of this information.

§ 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The Third Court of Appeals has concluded public citizens' dates of birth are protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *See Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). Thus, the city must withhold the audible date of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release.² *See Gov't Code § 552.130*. We note section 552.130 protects personal privacy. Accordingly, the requestor has a right of access to his client's motor vehicle record information under section 552.023 of the Government Code and it may not be withheld from him under section 552.130. *See id.* § 552.023(a) (governmental body may not deny access to person to whom information relates or person's agent on ground that information is considered confidential by privacy principles); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (privacy theories not implicated when individuals request information concerning themselves). Accordingly, with the exception of the motor vehicle information belonging to the requestor's client, the city must withhold all audible and visible license plate numbers and registration stickers within the dash camera recordings under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the submitted body worn camera recordings that were not properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code need not be released. The city must withhold the remaining body worn camera recording under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code. The city must withhold the audible date of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. With the exception of the motor vehicle information belonging to the requestor's client, the city must withhold all audible and visible license plate numbers and registration stickers within the dash camera recordings under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and

² The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Pearlie Gault". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Pearlie Gault
Attorney
Open Records Division

PG/eb

Ref: ID# 815049

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)