



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

March 6, 2020

Ms. Marcialyn Hooper  
Open Records Coordinator  
Dallas Animal Services  
1818 North Westmoreland Road  
Dallas, Texas 75212

OR2020-07134

Dear Ms. Hooper:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 817506 (ORR# C000277).

The City of Dallas (the "city") received a request for information related to a specified animal. The city claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.1177 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions the city claims and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by section 801.353 of the Occupations Code, which provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) A veterinarian may not violate the confidential relationship between the veterinarian and the veterinarian's client.

(b) A veterinarian may not be required to release information concerning the veterinarian's care of an animal, except on the veterinarian's receipt of:

(1) a written authorization or other form of waiver executed by the client; or

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(2) an appropriate court order or subpoena.

Occ. Code § 801.353(a), (b). Section 801.353 limits a veterinarian's release of information concerning the veterinarian's care of an animal to certain circumstances. *See id.* The city states the submitted information consists of veterinary records of a veterinarian employed by the city. The city informs us it has not obtained permission from the owner or caretaker of the animal whose records are at issue to release the animal's records. *See id.* § 801.351(a)(1) (defining "client" as "owner or other caretaker of the animal"). Further, the city states the requestor has not produced an appropriate court order or subpoena to release the information at issue. Based on these arguments and our review, we conclude the submitted information consists of veterinary record information that is subject to chapter 801 of the Occupations Code. Accordingly, the city must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 801.353 of the Occupations Code.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/be

Ref: ID# 817506

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>2</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.