



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 10, 2020

Mr. Edward Konop
Associate Compliance Specialist
Texas Windstorm Insurance Association
P.O. Box 99090
Austin, Texas 78709-9090

OR2020-03861

Dear Mr. Konop:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 810140 (TWIA ID#s 000651 and 000653).

The Texas Windstorm Insurance Association ("TWIA") received two requests from different requestors for salary information pertaining to a named employee and information relating to any consultants engaged by TWIA during a specified time period. TWIA states it will withhold certain information pursuant to Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).¹ TWIA claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.104, 552.107, 552.117, and 552.136 of the Government Code.² Additionally, TWIA states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of A Girl Creative Design Studio; Austin Web and Design; Foundry Digital; Hahn Public Communications; Keybridge Communications, LLC ("Keybridge"); Leff Communications, Inc. ("Leff"); Pierpoint; and Tim Rosa Associates LLC. Accordingly, TWIA states, and provides documentation showing, it notified each third party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of

¹ Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including personal e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. *See* ORD 684.

² Although TWIA also raises Texas Rule of Evidence 503, we note the proper exception to raise when asserting the attorney-client privilege in this instance is section 552.107 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 1-2 (2002).

exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Keybridge and Leff. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.³

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the remaining third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information, and TWIA may not withhold any portion of it on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3.

We note Keybridge and Leff did not submit arguments to withhold any of the information at issue under the Act. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Keybridge or Leff has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information, and TWIA may not withhold any portion of it on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. TWIA asserts the information it marked is excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the "GLB Act") and relevant state insurance regulations. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 6801-6809; Ins. Code §§ 601.002 (covered entity must comply with 15 U.S.C. §§ 6802-6803), .051 (commissioner of insurance shall adopt rules necessary to carry out and keep privacy requirements consistent with GLB Act); 28 T.A.C. §§ 22.1-22.26. The purpose of the GLB Act is to promote competition in the financial services industry. *See* H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 106-434, at 245 (1999), *reprinted in* 1999 U.S.C.C.A.N. 245, 245. Reflecting Congressional concern regarding the dissemination of consumers' personal financial information, the GLB Act provides certain privacy protections "to protect the security and confidentiality of [consumers'] nonpublic personal information." 15 U.S.C. § 6801(a). The statute defines nonpublic personal information ("NPI") as "personally identifiable financial information ["PIFI"] - (i) provided by a consumer to a financial institution; (ii) resulting from any transaction with the consumer or any service performed for the consumer; or (iii) otherwise obtained by the financial institution." *Id.* § 6809(4)(A); *see id.* § 6809(4)(C)(I) (PIFI includes "any list, description, or other grouping of consumers (and publicly available information pertaining to them) that is derived using any [NPI]"). Federal regulations define PIFI as

³ We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

any information: (i) [a] consumer provides to [a regulated financial institution] to obtain a financial product or service . . .; (ii) [a]bout a consumer resulting from any transaction involving a financial product or service between [a regulated financial institution] and a consumer; or (iii) [a regulated financial institution] otherwise obtain[s] about a consumer in connection with providing a financial product or service to that consumer.

16 C.F.R. § 313.3(o)(1). Sections 6802(a) and (b) of title 15 of the United States Code provide in pertinent part as follows:

(a) Notice requirements

Except as otherwise provided in this subchapter, a financial institution may not, directly or through any affiliate, disclose to a nonaffiliated third party any nonpublic personal information, unless such financial institution provides or has provided to the consumer a notice that complies with section 6803 of this title.

(b) Opt out

(1) In general

A financial institution may not disclose nonpublic personal information to a nonaffiliated third party unless –

(A) such financial institution clearly and conspicuously discloses to the consumer, in writing or in electronic form or other form permitted by the regulations prescribed under section 6804 of this title, that such information may be disclosed to such third party;

(B) the consumer is given the opportunity, before the time that such information is initially disclosed, to direct that such information not be disclosed to such third party; and

(C) the consumer is given an explanation of how the consumer can exercise that nondisclosure option.

15 U.S.C. § 6802(a), (b). “Nonaffiliated third party” is defined as “any entity that is not an affiliate of, or related by common ownership or affiliated by corporate control with, the financial institution, but does not include a joint employee of such institution.” *Id.* § 6809(5). Section 6809(3)(A) of title 15 of the United States Code defines financial institution as “any institution the business of which is engaging in financial activities as described in section 1843(k) of Title 12.” 15 U.S.C. § 6809(3)(A). Section 1843(k)(4)(B) of title 12 defines the following activity as financial in nature: “Insuring, guaranteeing, or indemnifying against loss, harm, damage, illness, disability, or death, or providing and issuing annuities, and acting as principal, agent, or broker for purposes of the foregoing, in any State.” 12 U.S.C. § 1843(k)(4)(B).

Similarly, subchapter A of chapter 22 of the Texas Administrative Code governs the treatment of nonpublic personal information about individuals by covered entities. 28 T.A.C. § 22.1(a); *see id.* § 22.1(b) (providing scope of subchapter A of chapter 22). A covered entity is defined as “[a]n individual or entity who receives an authorization from the Texas Department of Insurance[,]” including an individual or entity to which chapter 82 of the Insurance Code is applicable. *Id.* § 22.2(11) (defining “covered entity”); *see also* Ins. Code § 82.002 (listing types of companies to which chapter 82 of Insurance Code applies). For purposes of subchapter A, “nonpublic personal financial information” includes

(i) personally identifiable financial information;

(ii) any list, description or other grouping of consumers (and publicly available information pertaining to them) that is derived using any personally identifiable financial information that is not publicly available; and

(iii) any list of individuals’ names and street addresses that is derived in whole or in part using personally identifiable financial information that is not publicly available, such as account numbers.

28 T.A.C. § 22.2(21)(A). Section 22.14 of title 28 of the Texas Administrative Code provides as follows:

(a) Conditions for disclosure. Except as otherwise authorized in this subchapter, a covered entity may not, directly or through any affiliate, disclose any nonpublic personal financial information about a consumer to a nonaffiliated third party unless:

(1) the covered entity has provided to the consumer an initial notice as required under § 22.8 of this title (relating to Initial Privacy Notice);

(2) the covered entity has provided to the consumer an opt out notice as required in § 22.11 of this title (relating to Form of Opt Out Notice to Consumers and Opt Out Methods);

(3) the covered entity has given the consumer a reasonable opportunity, before it discloses the information to the nonaffiliated third party, to opt out of the disclosure; and

(4) the consumer does not opt out.

28 T.A.C. § 22.14(a). For purposes of section 22.14, a nonaffiliated third party is “[a]n entity that is not an affiliate of, or related to by common ownership or affiliated by corporate control with, the covered entity.” *Id.* § 22.2(20).

TWIA is an association composed of all property insurers authorized to engage in the business of property insurance in Texas, other than insurers prevented by law from writing on a statewide basis coverages available through TWIA. Ins. Code § 2210.051(a); *see id.* §§ 2210.006, .051(b) (to engage in business of insurance in Texas, property insurer must be member of TWIA); *see also* 28 T.A.C. § 5.4001(c)(2)(D). The primary purpose of TWIA is to provide an adequate market for windstorm and hail insurance in Texas seacoast territories. Ins. Code § 2210.001. In addition, TWIA states it is an insurance company. *See id.* §§ 2210.053(a)(1), .203(a); *see also Tex. Windstorm Ins. Ass'n v. Poole*, 255 S.W.3d 775, 777 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 2008, pet. denied) (TWIA has “attributes of a private insurance business while operating under a governmental cloak”). Based on these representations, we agree TWIA is a financial institution for purposes of the GLB Act and a covered entity for purposes of section 22.14. TWIA states the requestor is a non-affiliated third party. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 6809(5); 28 T.A.C. § 22.2(20).

TWIA seeks to withhold the information at issue under the GLB Act and chapter 22 of title 28 of the Texas Administrative Code. TWIA states this information was provided to TWIA for the purpose of obtaining insurance and is also information resulting from transactions with the policyholders or services performed for the policyholders by TWIA, a regulated financial institution. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 6809(4)(A); 16 C.F.R. § 313.3(o)(1). TWIA states it has not provided opt out notices to the policyholders. Based upon TWIA’s representations and our review, we agree this information falls under the definition of PIFI. *See generally Individual Reference Servs. Group, Inc. v. Federal Trade Comm’n*, 145 F. Supp. 2d 6, 26-31 (D.D.C. 2001) (discussing language, structure, and history of GLB Act to determine whether certain information meets definition of PIFI). We also agree this information consists of nonpublic personal financial information for purposes of section 22.14. Based on TWIA’s representations and our review, we determine TWIA is prohibited by section 6802(a) and (b) of title 15 of the United States Code and section 22.14(a) of title 28 of the Texas Administrative Code from releasing the information at issue; therefore, TWIA must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the GLB Act.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 545 (1990) (common-law privacy protects mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989) (common-law privacy protects credit reports, financial statements, and other personal financial information), 373 (1983) (sources of income not related to financial transaction between individual and governmental body protected under common-law privacy). Upon review, we find some of the remaining information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Thus, TWIA

must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104(a). The “test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder’s [or competitor’s information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage.” *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015). While TWIA argues release of some of the information at issue would harm the third parties by giving an advantage to their competitors, such an interest in protecting the information belongs to the third parties and not TWIA. Thus, we find TWIA may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code on this basis.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.107(1). When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See* ORD 676 at 6-7. First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. *See* TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *See In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. *See* TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Finally, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.*, meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

TWIA states the information it marked consists of communications involving TWIA attorneys, TWIA representatives, and other TWIA employees. TWIA states the communications were made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional

legal services to TWIA and these communications have remained confidential. Upon review, we find TWIA has demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information at issue. Thus, TWIA may withhold the information it marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

We note TWIA has marked some information subject to section 552.117 of the Government Code for redaction as permitted by section 552.024(c) of the Government Code.⁴ Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See Gov't Code* § 552.117(a)(1). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989)*. Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. Therefore, to the extent the individuals whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, TWIA must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Conversely, to the extent the individuals at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, TWIA may not withhold the marked information under section 552.117(a)(1). However, we note a portion of the information TWIA seek to withhold pertains to an independent contractor who has contracted with TWIA. Thus, we conclude TWIA has failed to establish this individual is an employee or official of a governmental body for purposes of section 552.117, and TWIA may not withhold the remaining information it marked under section 552.117.

We also note TWIA has marked some information for redaction pursuant to section 552.136(c) of the Government Code.⁵ Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” *Gov't Code* § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). We note check numbers are not access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, TWIA must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. However, we find TWIA has failed to demonstrate the remaining information it marked consists of access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136, and TWIA may not withhold it on that basis.

⁴ Section 552.024 of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to withhold information subject to section 552.117 without requesting a decision from this office if the current or former employee or official chooses not to allow public access to the information. *See Gov't Code* § 552.024(c).


⁵ Section 552.136(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in section 552.136(b) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. *See Gov't Code* § 552.136(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.136(e). *See id.* § 552.136(d), (e).

In summary, TWIA must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the GLB Act. TWIA must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. TWIA may withhold the information it marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. To the extent the individuals whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, TWIA must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. TWIA must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. TWIA must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Gerald Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/be

Ref: ID# 810140

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors
(w/o enclosures)

8 Third Parties
(w/o enclosures)