



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 3, 2020

Ms. Jacque Cullom  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of San Marcos  
630 East Hopkins  
San Marcos, Texas 78666

OR2020-03153

Dear Ms. Cullom:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 809960 (City File No. W006079).

The City of San Marcos (the "city") received a request for the 9-1-1 call audio recordings, body worn camera video recordings, and mugshots pertaining to a specified incident. You state you have no information responsive to a portion of the request.<sup>1</sup> You also state you released some information. You claim some of the requested information was not properly requested pursuant to section 1701.661 of the Occupations Code. You also claim the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information consists of police department body worn camera video. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661(a) provides:

---

<sup>1</sup> The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not give the requisite information under section 1701.661(a). As the requestor did not properly request the body worn camera video at issue pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released.<sup>2</sup> However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by Subsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b).

As noted above, you have only submitted information responsive to the portion of the request seeking body worn camera video recordings. You have not submitted information responsive to the portion of the request seeking 9-1-1 call audio recordings. Although you state the city has submitted a representative sample of the requested information, we find the submitted information is not representative of all the types of information to which the requestor seeks access. Please be advised, this open records letter ruling applies only to the types of information you have submitted for our review. This ruling does not authorize the city to withhold any information that is substantially different from the types of information you submitted to this office. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.302 (where request for attorney general decision does not comply with requirements of Gov’t Code § 552.301, information at issue is presumed to be public). Accordingly, to the extent any information responsive to the remaining portion of the request existed on the date the city received the request, we assume the city has released it. If the city has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See id.* §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open->

---

<sup>2</sup> As we are able to make this determination, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Meagan Hunter". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Meagan" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Hunter".

Meagan Hunter  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MH/gw

Ref: ID# 809960

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)