



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 30, 2020

Ms. Leslie A. Whitten
Assistant City Attorney
City of College Station
P.O. Box 9960
College Station, Texas 77842

OR2020-02837

Dear Ms. Whitten:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 816847 (File No. A19-002026).

The City of College Station (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. You state you will release the CR-3 accident report, as well as additional information, to the requestor. *See* Transp. Code § 550.065(c), (c-1). You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. The submitted information consists of police officers' body worn camera recordings. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661 provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

(1) the date and approximate time of the recording;

- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not provide the requisite information under section 1701.661(a) for some of the submitted body worn camera recordings. As the body worn camera recording at issue was not properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and this recording need not be released.¹ However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by [s]ubsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b). We note, the instant request includes all the information required by section 1701.661(a) with respect to the remaining body worn camera recording. *Id.* § 1701.661(a). Accordingly, we find the requestor properly requested the remaining body worn camera recording.

However, section 1701.661(f) provides, in relevant part:

A law enforcement agency may not release any portion . . . of a recording involving the investigation of conduct that constitutes a misdemeanor punishable by fine only and does not result in arrest, without written authorization from the person who is the subject of that portion of the recording or, if the person is deceased, from the person’s authorized representative.

Id. § 1701.661(f). You state the remaining recording pertains to the investigation of conduct that constitutes a misdemeanor punishable by fine only and which did not result in an arrest. You also state you have not received written authorization for release from all of the subjects of the recording. *See id.* Accordingly, we find the city must withhold the remaining body worn camera recording under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code.


In summary, as the requestor did not properly request some of the submitted body worn camera recordings under section 1701.661 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. The city must withhold the remaining body worn camera recording under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

¹ As we are able to make this determination, we need not address your argument against disclosure of this information.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Meagan Hunter". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Meagan" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Hunter".

Meagan Hunter
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MH/mo

Ref: ID# 816847

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)