



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 28, 2020

Ms. Michelle Young  
Open Records Coordinator  
City of Dallas  
1500 Marilla Street, Suite 5DS  
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2020-02631

Dear Ms. Young:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 808548 (Ref. No. C010131-103019).

The City of Dallas (the "city") received a request for the building plat and blue prints for a specified address. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You also state you notified Architect, Inc. of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information that is made confidential by other statutes. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Homeland

Security Act (the “HSA”). Sections 418.176 through 418.182 were added to chapter 418 of the Government Code as part of the HSA. Section 418.181 provides “[t]hose documents or portions of documents in the possession of a governmental entity are confidential if they identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism.” *Id.* § 418.181. The fact that information may relate to a governmental body’s security measures does not make the information *per se* confidential under the HSA. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of the claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a claim under section 418.181 must be accompanied by an adequate explanation of how the responsive records fall within the scope of the claimed provision. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

You assert, and we agree, the city’s financial institutions are critical infrastructure. *See generally id.* § 421.001 (defining “critical infrastructure” to include “all public or private assets, systems, and functions vital to the security, governance, public health and safety, economy, or morale of the state or the nation”). You state the submitted information “contains the exact location and key technical details revealing critical security access points and particular vulnerabilities of the uniform building classified as a financial institution.” You argue release of the submitted information could be used to “disrupt and breach utility systems, fire exits, control systems, surveillance cameras, [and] disrupt security services,” and would expose potential vulnerabilities of the financial institution at issue by “showing persons where the most damage could be inflicted.” Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the submitted information identifies the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of the city’s financial institutions to an act of terrorism. Thus, the submitted information must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable

charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Emily Kunst". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Emily Kunst  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

EK/jxd

Ref: ID# 808548

c: 2 Requestors