



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 28, 2020

Ms. Sharen Wilson
Criminal District Attorney
Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney's Office
401 West Belknap
Fort Worth, Texas 76196

OR2020-02518

Dear Ms. Wilson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 808802.

The Tarrant County Purchasing Department (the "department") received a request for three categories of information pertaining to a specified request for bids. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Armstrong Forensic Laboratory, Inc. ("Armstrong"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Armstrong of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Armstrong explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Armstrong has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized

allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any portion of the submitted information related to Armstrong on the basis of any proprietary interest it may have in the information. As you raise no exceptions to disclosure, the department must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ashley Crutchfield
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AC/be

Ref: ID# 808802

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)