



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 3, 2020

Mr. Juan Roque
Assistant Criminal District Attorney
Bexar County
101 West Nueva Street, 7th Floor
San Antonio, Texas 78205

OR2020-00183

Dear Mr. Roque:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 810791 (File # 8012).

The Bexar County Criminal District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for fingerprints associated with two specified cases. The district attorney's office claims some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code on behalf of the San Antonio Police Department (the "department").¹ We have considered the claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted autopsy reports are not responsive to the request. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request, and the district attorney's office is not required to release this information in response to this request.

¹The district attorney's office acknowledges it did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e). Nonetheless, the need of a governmental body, other than the governmental body that failed to timely seek an open records decision, to withhold information under section 552.108 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reason sufficient to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision NO. 586 (1991).


Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 of the Government Code encompasses section 560.003 of the Government Code, which provides “[a] biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under [the Act].” Gov’t Code § 560.003; *see id.* § 560.001(1) (“biometric identifier” means retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry). However, laws making this type of information confidential are intended to protect an individual’s privacy. *See id.* § 560.003. Because the right of privacy is purely personal and lapses at death, the fingerprints of a deceased individual may not be withheld on the basis of sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref’d n.r.e.); *see also Justice v. Belo Broad. Corp.*, 472 F. Supp. 145, 147 (N.D. Tex. 1979) (“action for invasion of privacy can be maintained only by a living individual whose privacy is invaded” (quoting Restatement (Second) of Torts § 652I (1977))); Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984) (“the right of privacy lapses upon death”), H-917 (1976) (“We are . . . of the opinion that the Texas courts would follow the almost uniform rule of other jurisdictions that the right of privacy lapses upon death.”); Open Records Decision No. 272 (1981) (“the right of privacy is personal and lapses upon death”). We note the responsive information consists of fingerprints belonging to an individual who is deceased. Therefore, this information is not confidential under section 560.003 of the Government Code, and the district attorney’s office may not withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that ground.

Section 552.108(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the information at issue would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706, 710 (Tex. 1977). The district attorney’s office asserts the department objects to the release of the responsive information under section 552.108(a)(1) because it relates to a pending criminal investigation. Based on this representation, we conclude the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (delineating law enforcement interests present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code is applicable to the responsive information and the district attorney’s office may withhold this information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code on behalf of the department.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jesse Harvey
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JHI/mo

Ref: ID# 810791

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)