



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 21, 2019

Mr. Wes Mau  
Criminal District Attorney  
Hays County  
712 South Stagecoach Trail, Suite 2057  
San Marcos, Texas 78666

OR2019-33005

Dear Mr. Mau:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 797744 (Ref# 19-0700).

The Hays County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for three categories of information related to an incident involving a named individual.<sup>1</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the requested information includes officer body worn camera video recordings. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code.

---

<sup>1</sup> The district attorney's office states it sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661(a) provides:

A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not give the requisite information under section 1701.661(a). As the requestor did not properly request the body worn camera video at issue pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by Subsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b).

Next, we note some of the submitted information is not responsive to this request because it does not relate to the named individual. This ruling does not address the public availability of non-responsive information and it need not be released in response to this request.

Additionally, we note you have only submitted 9-1-1 calls. You have not submitted information responsive to the remaining category of requested information. Although you state the district attorney’s office has submitted a representative sample of the requested information, we find the submitted information is not representative of all the types of information to which the requestor seeks access. Please be advised, this open records letter ruling applies only to the types of information you have submitted for our review. This ruling does not authorize the district attorney’s office to withhold any information that is substantially different from the types of information you submitted to this office. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.302 (where request for attorney general decision does not comply with requirements of Gov’t Code § 552.301, information at issue is presumed to be public). Accordingly, to the extent any information responsive to the remaining category existed on the date the district attorney’s office received the request, we assume the district attorney’s office has released it. If the district attorney’s office has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See id.* §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial

decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses the informer’s privilege, which has long been recognized by Texas courts. *Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); *Hawthorne v. State*, 10 S.W.2d 724, 725 (Tex. Crim. App. 1928). The informer’s privilege protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi criminal law enforcement authority, provided the subject of the information does not already know the informer’s identity. See Open Records Decision No. 208 at 1 2 (1978). The informer’s privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar law enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to “administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres.” Open Records Decision No. 279 at 1-2 (1981) (citing 8 John H. Wigmore, *Evidence in Trials at Common Law*, § 2374, at 767 (J. McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. See Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4 (1988). The privilege excepts the informer’s statement only to the extent necessary to protect that informer’s identity. Open Records Decision No. 549 at 5 (1990).

You state portions of the submitted information identify a complainant who reported a violation of law to the appropriate law enforcement agency. We have no indication the subject of the complaint knows the identity of the complainant. We note in some circumstances, where an oral statement is captured on tape and the voice of the informant is recognizable, it may be necessary to withhold the entire audio statement to protect the informant’s identity. Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2 (1986). Based upon your representations and our review, we conclude the district attorney’s office has demonstrated the applicability of the common-law informer’s privilege to the responsive information. Therefore, the district attorney’s office may withhold the responsive information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the common-law informer’s privilege.<sup>2</sup>

In summary, as the requestor did not properly request the body worn camera video recordings at issue pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. The district attorney’s office may withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the common-law informer’s privilege.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable

---

<sup>2</sup> As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'SMC', written in a cursive style.

Sean McCormick

Attorney

Open Records Division

SMC/eb

Ref: ID# 797744.

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)