



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 15, 2019

Mr. Christopher St. James
Assistant General Counsel
Spring Independent School District
16717 Ella Boulevard
Houston, Texas 77090

OR2019-32373

Dear Mr. St. James:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 797153 (SISD PIR No. 953).

The Spring Independent School District (the "district") received a request for information pertaining to a specified solicitation. Although the district takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, the district states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Charter Substitute Teacher Network; Kelly Services, Inc. ("KSI"); Nuvola Staffing & Solutions, LLC ("Nuvola"); Spur Employment, Inc.; StaffEZ; Staffing etc.; What Next Why Me Staffing Company; Yancy Life Transition Center; and Zenith Learning ("Zenith").¹ Accordingly, the district states, and provides documentation showing, it notified each third party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records

¹ We note the district did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting a ruling from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b), (e). Nonetheless, as the interests of third parties can provide compelling reasons to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider their applicability to the submitted information. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .302, .352.

Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from KSI, Nuvola, and Zenith. We have reviewed the submitted information and the submitted arguments.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the remaining third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information, and the district may not withhold any portion of it on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3.

KSI, Nuvola, and Zenith assert portions of their information at issue are protected under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104(a) excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). A private third party may invoke this exception. *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015). The "test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder's [or competitor's information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage." *Id.* at 841. KSI, Nuvola, and Zenith state they have competitors. In addition, KSI, Nuvola, and Zenith state release of some of their information at issue would provide an advantage to their competitors. After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find KSI, Nuvola, and Zenith have established the release of their information at issue would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. Thus, we conclude the district may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code.² The district must release the remaining information.


This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable

² As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gerald Arismendez', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Gerald Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/gw

Ref: ID# 797153

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

c: 9 Third Parties
(w/o enclosures)