



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 11, 2019

Mr. Rita Monterrosa
Litigation Paralegal
City of Abilene
P.O. Box 60
Abilene, Texas 79604-0060

OR2019-25476

Dear Mr. Dietz:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 785345 (Request# 2019-32).

The City of Abilene (the "city") received a request for video recordings related to a specified incident involving the requestor. You state the city has released some information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information, which we understand to be a representative sample.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. The submitted information consists of a recording from a city police officer's body worn camera. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.661 of the Occupations Code provides, in relevant part:

¹We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

...

(f) A law enforcement agency may not release any portion . . . of a recording involving the investigation of conduct that constitutes a misdemeanor punishable by fine only and does not result in arrest, without written authorization from the person who is the subject of that portion of the recording or, if the person is deceased, from the person's authorized representative.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a), (f). In this instance, the requestor provided the information required by section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code for release of the body worn camera recording at issue. The submitted recording reflects it was required to be made by law or the policies of the city and relates to a law enforcement purpose. *See* Occ. Code § 1701.661(h). We understand the recording at issue could be used as evidence in a criminal prosecution. *See id.* § 1701.661(d) (stating information "that is or could be used as evidence in a criminal prosecution is subject to the requirements of [the Act.]"). Additionally, we have no indication the recording documents an incident that involves the use of deadly force by an officer or relate to an administrative or criminal investigation of an officer. *See id.* § 1701.660(a). The submitted recording demonstrates it was not made in a private space for the purposes of section 1701.661(f). *See id.* §§ 1701.661(f), .651(3) (defining "private space" for purposes of section 1701.661(f)). Finally, upon review, we find the submitted video recording involves the investigation of conduct that resulted in arrest. Therefore, no portion of the recording is confidential under section 1701.661(f) and the city may not withhold the recording under section 552.101 on that basis.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. We note the submitted video recordings contain motor vehicle record information subject to section 552.130. Thus, the

city must withhold the visible license plates in the submitted video recordings under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kelly McWethy
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KSM/mo

Ref: ID# 785345

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

²We note the information being released contains information to which the requestor has a right of access under section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a), (b) (individual has special right of access to information that relates to himself and is protected by laws intended to protect his privacy interests, and governmental body may not deny access on ground that information is considered confidential by privacy principles); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (privacy theories not implicated when individual requests information concerning himself). Accordingly, if the city receives another request for this same information from a different requestor, it must again seek a ruling from this office.