



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 14, 2019

Ms. Sherry Phelps Vital  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Port Arthur  
P. O. Box 1089  
Port Arthur, Texas 77641-1089

OR2019-22482

Dear Ms. Vital:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 787888.

The Port Arthur Police Department (the "department") received a request for all records related to a specified address during a specified time period. You state you have released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested). You state the information at issue pertains to criminal cases that concluded in results other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Based on your representation, we agree section 552.108(a)(2) is applicable and the department may withhold the information you have indicated.

Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body,” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c).<sup>1</sup> *Id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address we have marked is not one of the types specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). *See id.* § 552.137(c). Accordingly, the department must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release.

In summary, the department may withhold the information you have indicated under section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code and must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/members-public/what-expect-after-ruling-issued> or call the OAG’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Public Information Act may be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the OAG, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Tim Neal  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TN/jxd

Ref: ID# 787888

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).