



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 7, 2019

Mr. Brian Sears  
Assistant General Counsel  
Texas Department of Public Safety  
P. O. Box 4087  
Austin, Texas 78773-0001

OR2019-21743

Dear Mr. Sears:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 779269 (Ref. No. 19-2166).

The Texas Department of Public Safety (the "department") received a request for specified information pertaining to a specified incident. You state you have released some information with redactions under section 552.1175 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Although the department takes no position as to the disclosure of the submitted information, you state you notified the Anderson County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released). We have received comments from the sheriff's office. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by section 418.182 of the

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.1175(f) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information subject to section 552.1175(b) of the Government Code without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act, if the individual properly elects to keep such information confidential. *See* Gov't Code § 552.1175(b), (f).

Government Code, which was added to chapter 418 of the Government Code as part of the Texas Homeland Security Act (“HSA”). Section 418.182 provides in part:

(a) [I]nformation . . . in the possession of a governmental entity that relates to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity is confidential.

*Id.* § 418.182(a). The fact information may be related to a security system does not make such information *per se* confidential under section 418.182. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation by a governmental body of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of a claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a governmental body asserting section 418.182 must adequately explain how the responsive records fall within the scope of the statute. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

The sheriff’s office states the submitted information consists of video recordings from security cameras within a secured area of its jail. The sheriff’s office explains the security cameras at issue are part of the jail’s security system. The sheriff’s office also states the video recordings at issue reveal the locations of the security cameras, which are used to protect the jail from acts of terrorism or related criminal activities. Upon review, we find the video recordings at issue relate to the location and specifications of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity. *See Tex. Dep’t of Pub. Safety v. Abbott*, 310 S.W.3d 670 (Tex. App.—Austin 2010, no pet.) (finding confidential under section 418.182 of the HSA video recording containing images recorded by security cameras in Texas Capitol hallway because specifications of security system included cameras’ capabilities and video recording demonstrated those capabilities through characteristics, quality, and clarity of images recorded). Accordingly, the department must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.182 of the Government Code on behalf of the sheriff’s office.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Meredith L. Coffman', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Meredith L. Coffman  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MLC/jxd

Ref: ID# 779269

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)