



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 18, 2019

Mr. James Kopp  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of San Antonio  
P.O. Box 839966  
San Antonio, Texas 78207

OR2019-19621

Dear Mr. Kopp:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 775880 (COSA File Nos. W263002 & W264223).

The City of San Antonio (the "city") received two requests from different requestors for information pertaining to a specified incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under [the Act], and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). Upon review, we find the submitted information was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect. *See id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). Accordingly, we find this information is subject to section 261.201 of the Family Code. The city does not indicate it has adopted a rule that governs the release of this type of information. Therefore, we assume no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, we conclude the submitted information is generally confidential pursuant to section 261.201(a) of the Family Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 440 at 2 (1986) (predecessor statute). Thus, the city must withhold the submitted information in its entirety from the second requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a) of the Family Code.<sup>2</sup>

However, the first requestor is a representative of the Dallas Independent School District (the “district”). Section 261.201 provides information encompassed by section 261.201(a) may be disclosed “for purposes consistent with [the Family Code] and applicable federal or state law.” Gov’t Code § 261.201(a). Section 22.083 of the Education Code authorizes a school

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument(s) against disclosure of this information.

district to obtain criminal history record information (“CHRI”) related to an employee of the district from a law enforcement agency. *See* Educ. Code § 22.083(a)(1), (a-1)(2). Thus, the district may have a right of access to CHRI pursuant to section 22.083. CHRI consists of “information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, informations, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions.” Gov’t Code § 411.082(2); *see id.* §§ 411.097(b) (school district is entitled to obtain CHRI related to district employee that district is required or authorized to obtain under Educ. Code ch. 22 subch. C from Texas Department of Public Safety (the “DPS”)), .087(a)(2) (agency entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS also is authorized to “obtain from any other criminal justice agency in this state [CHRI] maintained by that [agency].” *Cf. Brookshire v. Houston Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 508 S.W.2d 675, 678-79 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1974, no writ) (when legislature defines term in one statute and uses same term in relation to same subject matter in latter statute, later use of term is same as previously defined).

We understand the first requestor seeks access to information related to an employee of the district. Therefore, if the city determines release of CHRI is consistent with the Family Code, then it must release CHRI to the first requestor, but must withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 (a) of the Family Code.<sup>3</sup> *See* Open Records Decision No. 451 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exceptions to disclosure under the Act). However, if the city determines release of CHRI would not be for a purpose consistent with the Family Code, then the city must withhold the submitted information in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a) of the Family Code. *See* Attorney General Opinions DM-353 at 4 n.6 (1995) (interagency transfer of information prohibited where confidentiality statute enumerates specific entities to which release of information is authorized, and potential receiving governmental body is not among statute’s enumerated entities), JM-590 at 4-5 (1986); Fam. Code § 261.201(b)-(g), (k) (listing entities authorized to receive section 261.201 information).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/>

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.

[orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](#), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kelly McWethy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KSM/mo

Ref: ID# 775880

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)