



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 8, 2019

Ms. Chelsey Heil  
Records Supervisor  
City of Leander  
705 Leander Drive  
Leander, Texas 78641

OR2019-18396

Dear Ms. Heil:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 773985.

The Leander Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified motor vehicle accident. You indicate you have released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.1175, and 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information includes city police officers' body worn camera recordings. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661 provides, in relevant part, the following:

- (a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

---

<sup>1</sup>Although the department cites to section 552.117 of the Government Code for portions of the submitted information, section 552.1175 of the Government Code is the proper exception to raise for information the department does not hold in an employment capacity.

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). We note the requestor provided the requisite information under section 1701.661(a) for some of the body worn camera recordings at issue. As these body worn camera recordings were properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, we will address your argument against their disclosure, as well as the remaining information. However, the requestor did not give the requisite information under section 1701.661(a) for the remaining body worn camera recordings at issue. As the requestor did not properly request the remaining body worn camera recordings, which we indicated, pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information, and it need not be released to the requestor. However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by Subsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides the following:

[F]or a covered entity that is a governmental unit, an individual’s protected health information:

- (1) includes any information that reflects that an individual received health care from the covered entity; and
- (2) is not public information and is not subject to disclosure under [the Act].

Health & Safety Code § 181.006. Section 181.001(b)(2)(A) defines “covered entity” to include any person who

(A) for commercial, financial, or professional gain, monetary fees, or dues, or on a cooperative, nonprofit, or pro bono basis, engages, in whole or in part, and with real or constructive knowledge, in the practice of assembling, collecting, analyzing, using, evaluating, storing, or transmitting protected health information. The term includes a business associate, health care payer, governmental unit, information or computer management entity, school,

health researcher, health care facility, clinic, health care provider, or person who maintains an Internet site[.]

*Id.* § 181.001(b)(2)(A). We understand you to claim some of the remaining information is subject to section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code. However, you do not inform us the department is a covered entity for purposes of section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code. Thus, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information is subject to section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code, and the department may not withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses chapter 772 of the Health and Safety Code, which authorizes the development of local emergency communication districts. Sections 772.118, 772.218, and 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code apply only to an emergency 9-1-1 district established in accordance with chapter 772. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). These statutes make confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers that are furnished by a service supplier. *Id.* at 2. Section 772.218 applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than 1.5 million. *See* Health & Safety Code § 772.204. Upon review, we find the department has failed to establish any of the submitted information consists of the originating telephone number or address of a 9-1-1 caller that was furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.218 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides the following:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

- (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;
- (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication;
- (3) it is information relating to a threat against a peace officer or detention officer collected or disseminated under Section 411.048; or
- (4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution;

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication; or

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)-(b). A governmental body raising section 552.108 must explain the applicability of section 552.108. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested). The department generally raises section 552.108 for some of the submitted information. A governmental body claiming subsection 552.108(a)(1) or subsection 552.108(b)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You do not inform us the information at issue pertains to a specific ongoing criminal investigation or prosecution, nor have you explained release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. Thus, the department has failed to demonstrate the applicability of subsection 552.108(a)(1) or subsection 552.108(b)(1). A governmental body claiming subsection 552.108(a)(2) or subsection 552.108(b)(2) must demonstrate the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(2), (b)(2). You have not demonstrated the information at issue pertains to any specific investigation that concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. Thus, the department has failed to demonstrate the applicability of either

subsection 552.108(a)(2) or subsection 552.108(b)(2). Subsection 552.108(a)(3) is also inapplicable as the information does not relate to a threat against a police officer. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(3). Lastly, you do not assert the information at issue was prepared by an attorney representing the state or that it reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3). Therefore, the department may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

We note the submitted information contains a CR-3 accident report that is subject to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information subject to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. Section 550.065 applies only to a written report of an accident required under section 550.061, 550.062, or 601.004. Transp. Code § 550.065(a)(1). Chapter 550 requires the creation of a written report when the accident resulted in injury to or the death of a person or damage to the property of any person to the apparent extent of \$1,000 or more. *Id.* §§ 550.061 (operator's accident report), .062 (officer's accident report). An accident report is privileged and for the confidential use of the Texas Department of Transportation or a local governmental agency of Texas that has use for the information for accident prevention purposes. *Id.* § 550.065(b). However, a governmental entity shall release an accident report in accordance with subsections (c) and (c-1). *Id.* § 550.065(c), (c-1). Section 550.065(c) provides a governmental entity shall release an accident report to a person or entity listed under this subsection. *Id.* § 550.065(c).

In this instance, the requestor is a person listed under section 550.065(c). *See id.* § 550.065(c)(4). Therefore, the department must release the CR-3 accident report to the requestor pursuant to section 550.065(c) of the Transportation Code. Although the department raises section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy for portions of the submitted information, we note a statutory right of access prevails over the common law. *See Collins v. Tex. Mall, L.P.*, 297 S.W.3d 409, 415 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth, 2009, no pet.) (statutory provision controls and preempts common law only when statute directly conflicts with common-law principle). Additionally, although the department asserts section 552.1175 of the Government Code to withhold portions of the accident report, a statutory right of access prevails over the Act's general exceptions to public disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 613 at 4 (1993) (exceptions in Act cannot impinge on statutory right of access to information), 451 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exceptions to disclosure under the Act). Further, the department asserts section 552.130 of the Government Code for the motor vehicle record information contained in the accident report. As noted above, a statutory right of access prevails over the Act's general exceptions to public disclosure. *See, e.g.*, ORDs 613, 451. However, because section 552.130 has its own access provisions, we conclude section 552.130 is not a general exception under the Act. Thus, we must address the conflict between the confidentiality provided under section 552.130 of the Government Code and the right of access provided under section 550.065(c) of the Transportation Code for the accident report. Where information falls within both a general and a specific provision of law, the specific provision prevails over the general. *See Horizon/CMS Healthcare Corp. v. Auld*, 34 S.W.3d 887, 901 (Tex. 2000) ("more specific statute controls over the more general");

*Cuellar v. State*, 521 S.W.2d 211 (Tex. Crim. App. 1975) (under well-established rule of statutory construction, specific statutory provisions prevail over general ones); Open Records Decision Nos. 598 (1991), 583 (1990), 451. Section 550.065(c) specifically provides access only to accident reports of the type at issue, while section 552.130 generally excepts motor vehicle record information maintained in any context. Thus, we conclude the access to accident reports provided under section 550.065(c) is more specific than the general confidentiality provided under section 552.130. Accordingly, the department may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.130. Thus, the department must release the submitted accident report pursuant to section 550.065(c) of the Transportation Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Because section 552.130 protects personal privacy, the requestor has a right of access to his client's motor vehicle record information pursuant to section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.023(a) ("person's authorized representative has special right of access, beyond right of general public, to information held by governmental body that relates to person and that is protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests"); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicate when individual requests information concerning herself). Nevertheless, the remaining video recordings contain motor vehicle information not pertaining to the requestor's client that is subject to section 552.130. The department states it lacks the technical capability to redact the information subject to section 552.130 from the video recordings. Based upon this representation, we agree the department must withhold the remaining video recordings in their entirety under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The department also must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked as well as any discernible license plates, vehicle identification numbers, and registrations in the submitted photographs under section 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs the release of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in relevant part the following:

- (a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004. This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created either by a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 487 at 3-4 (1988), 370 at 2 (1983), 343 at 1 (1982).* Upon review, we find some of the submitted information, which we have marked, constitutes medical records. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987).* The court of appeals has concluded public citizens' dates of birth are protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *See Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). However, we note the requestor has a right of access to his client's information that is otherwise protected under common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.023 of the Government Code, and this information may not be withheld from him under common-law privacy. *See Gov't Code § 552.023(a); ORD 481 at 4.* Thus, the department may not withhold the date of birth and medical information belonging to the requestor's client.

Upon review, we conclude some of the submitted information meets the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find no portion of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public concern, and the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of common-law privacy.

Section 552.1175 of the Government Code protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals, when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the information confidential. Gov't Code § 552.1175. Upon review, we find the information we marked consists of personal information of an individual who may be among the types of individuals listed in section 552.1175(a). Thus, to the extent the information we marked under section 552.1175 relates to an individual to whom section 552.1175(a) applies and the individual elects to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. To the extent the individual whose information is at issue is not an individual to whom section 552.1175(a) applies or if no election is made, the department may not withhold the information under section 552.1175. However, the department has failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.1175 to any of the remaining information. Therefore, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.1175 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c).<sup>3</sup> *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address at issue is not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the city must withhold the personal e-mail address we marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner affirmatively consents to its public disclosure.

In summary, as the requestor did not properly request some of the body worn camera recordings, which we indicated, pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. The department must release the CR-3 accident report to the requestor pursuant to section 550.065(c) of the Transportation Code.<sup>4</sup> The department must withhold (1) the remaining video recordings in their entirety, (2) the motor vehicle record information we marked, and (3) any discernible license plates, vehicle identification numbers, and registrations in the submitted photographs under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. The department must withhold the information we marked under section

---

<sup>3</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).


<sup>4</sup>We note the requestor has a right of access beyond that of the general public to some of the information being released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a); ORD 481 at 4. Accordingly, if the department receives another request for this information from an individual other than this requestor, the department must again seek a ruling from this office.

552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the information we marked under section 552.1175 relates to an individual to whom section 552.1175(a) applies and the individual elects to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. The department must withhold the personal e-mail address we marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner affirmatively consents to its public disclosure. The department must release the remaining information.<sup>5</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kimbell Kesling  
Attorney  
Open Records Division

KK/eb

Ref: ID# 773985

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>5</sup>The information being released contains a social security number. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b)